

ASUC SENATE MEETING

September 10, 2008

Third Week

This regular meeting of the ASUC Senate was called to order by Krystle Pasco at 7:13 p.m. in the ASUC Senate Chamber.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Ms. Pasco called for any changes to the minutes from the September 3 meeting. Ms. Hussain said that on page 15, the minutes should state that the group that held its first general meeting was the Association for South Asian Political Activists, not the MSA. Also, on page 21, the minutes state that “Mr. Rhoads” made a nomination, and there was no “Mr. Rhoads” in the Senate.

Ms. Pasco called for any other changes to the minutes, and seeing none, said the minutes were approved. THE MINUTES OF THE SEPTEMBER 3, 2008 MEETING, AS AMENDED, WERE APPROVED WITH NO OBJECTION.

NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Pasco referred the following bills to committee:

SB 9, In Support of the Latino Sociology Association, to the Finance Committee

SB 10, In Support of the Global Diversity Achievement Club (GDAC), to the Finance Committee

SB 11, To Amend Title 1, Article 9 (Legislative Officer Selection and Duties) of the ASUC By-laws, to the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee

SB 12, In Support of Students for Free Culture at Berkeley, to the Finance Committee

SB 13, In Support of Southwestern Asian Northern African Advocates, to the Finance Committee

SB 14, In Support of Students for Free Culture at Berkeley’s International Conference, to the Finance Committee

SB 15, In Support of FeelGood at Berkeley, to the Finance Committee

SB 16, In Support of Cal Community Music, to the Finance Committee

SB 17, In Support of Business Careers in Entertainment Club (BCEC), to the Finance Committee

Ms. Pasco called for any changes to New Business, and seeing none, said New Business was approved.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA (Sept. 17)

Ms. Pasco called for any changes to the agenda for the September 17 meeting. Ms. Hussain moved to have a Special Order to break the Ramadan fast. The MSA will have its Fast-a-Thon, and she hoped that many Senators will participate. Food will be available and they'd like a 20-minute Special Order, between 7:10 and 7:30 to eat. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mairena. THE MOTION TO HAVE A SPECIAL ORDER NEXT WEEK, FROM 7:10 TO 7:30, FOR THE FAST-A-THON, PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Ms. Pasco called for any other changes to the agenda and seeing none, said the agenda was approved. THE AGENDA FOR THE SEPTEMBER 17, 2008 MEETING, AS AMENDED, PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

GUEST ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Pasco said the first Guest Announcement was from Assemblywoman Fiona Ma, from the California State Assembly. (Applause)

Ms. Ma said she would like to thank them very much. She just drove back from Sacramento. As some of them know, the State was trying to pass a budget, which affected all of them, including Cal grants and keeping tuition low. As a Democrat from San Francisco, a product of public schools, she was very committed to making sure the Legislature was doing whatever it could to make college, higher education, more affordable for everyone. Legislators were working hard to pass a budget. Some Republicans have signed a no-tax pledge and will not vote for any tax increases. The State was currently facing a \$16 billion deficit. It wasn't very easy to cut \$16 billion worth of programs and services. This was what legislators were fighting for.

Ms. Ma said that evening she was there to talk about hepatitis B. One out of ten Asians has hepatitis B. She herself was born with it, as was her brother, who is two years younger than her. Back then they didn't have vaccinations at birth. Her sister, who is 26-years-old, was vaccinated at birth and therefore does not have hepatitis B. And that was the message people were trying to promote: If people are vaccinated, they'll never get hepatitis B. So it was important to try and get as many of their friends and family members screened and tested. And if they don't have it, they can get vaccinated, and never get it. And if people do have hepatitis SB, hopefully they're like her, and they don't have any symptoms at that time. But 1-out-of-4 people with hepatitis B, like her, will develop serious liver disease, including liver cancer. There are people walking around who don't know they have a serious liver problem. By reaching out to them and educating them, maybe lives could be saved. And they can prevent someone from having to get a transplant, or having to treat other serious liver problems or liver cancer.

Ms. Ma said they've started a Hep B Free campaign in San Francisco and are trying to get every Asian screened, tested, and vaccinated for hepatitis B. They're trying to model that around the State, so they know have a Hep B Free Santa Clara. They believe this will be a model across the State. Because of the

budget and the deficit, Ms. Ma said they weren't able to pass legislation she carried. The legislation would have expanded MediCal to people who have chronic hepatitis B. It was an attempt to try and prevent the disease instead of waiting until people were seriously, chronically infected, as MediCal currently worked. It covers people who have serious liver damage or liver cancer; and by that point it's too late. So there needed to be more prevention. Congressman Mike Honda, from San Jose, was also a big advocate for hepatitis B prevention and was working on hearings as well as trying to find funding for more screening and testing. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend speaking time by five minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Chen and Mr. Moghtader and passed with no objection.

Ms. Ma said there will be a concert on campus on September 16 in the Bear's Lair, and she'd like to invite all of them to attend and to bring their friends and family members. "Calisus" and a couple of other performers will play. They're trying to raise awareness about this on college campuses through music. She distributed one of her fliers with more information.

Ms. Ma said that since they were all elected officials, they knew how important it was to represent. And she hoped that one day some of them will represent the State of California. If anybody would like to know more about running for Statewide office, she'd be more than happy to have them come in and intern, shadow, or work on campaigns. It was a great way to get involved. She hoped many of them one day will continue and run for public office. She called for any questions. Ms. Pasco said that it being 7:20, she had to take roll.

Roll call was taken for attendance.

MEMBERS LEGALLY PRESENT:

Sheila Chen	Eddie Nahabet	Will Smelko
Sarah Cho	Christina Oatfield	Lisa Tran
Meghana Dhar	Tommy Owens	Tu Tran
Mary June Flores	Tara Raffi	Obiamaka Ude
Saira Hussain	Claudia Rodriguez	Stephanie Yang
Oscar Mairena	Lyell Sakaue	Yishi Zuo
John Moghtader	Kifah Shah	

Continuing Guest Announcements, Ms. Pasco call for any questions for Ms. Ma.

Ms. Chen asked how Ms. Ma became involved with the hep B movement. Ms. Ma said she actually didn't understand hepatitis SB at first. When she started her first job at Ernst & Young, there was a blood drive. She went to give blood and got a notice back saying they couldn't accept her blood because of hepatitis B. She went home and asked her mother what that was, and her mother said, "Oh, don't worry about it, you're just a carrier, you'll be fine." And then for the next 15 years or so, Ms. Ma said she went about not worrying about it. She did a press conference with "Asian Week" and got them Sam So, the Director of the Asian Liver Center at Stanford. She stood there in front of everyone and said it was important to get tested and screened for hepatitis SB, and said that although she had it, she was just a carrier. After the press conference, Dr. So took her aside and told her that she actually had chronic hepatitis B. And one-out-of-four such people will have serious liver damage or liver cancer. Needless to say, she went home and panicked, thinking she was potentially going to die. She asked her mother again, and her

mother said it was nothing, not to worry about it, and said her brother had it as well. Ms. Ma said she called her doctor the next day and got tested, including a full sonogram of her liver. Subsequently, she also got hepatitis A shots. So it raised her awareness.

Ms. Ma said that in the Asian community, they don't like to talk much about ailments, problems, or perceived problems. So she felt that as an Asian American who was born with this, that it was her obligation to talk about it and try to get everyone tested, and try to save lives. So that's what she's been doing ever since she found out. She goes around the State and to Washington, D.C. and testifies, because she thought this was a serious ailment. One-out-of-ten Asians has it. She asked Senators if they all knew whether or not they had hepatitis B, and if they didn't know, she would ask them to please go and get a blood test and get screened. As she said, if they don't have it they could get vaccinated and they'd never get it. As an aside, when she started talking about hep B, her cousin, who was born in China and who's been here for six years, read about it in a Chinese newspaper. Her cousin told her to not talk about the disease because people wouldn't vote for her any more and they'd think she was sick. Ms. Ma said these were the stereotypes that had to be overcome. Senators were from a different generation and were activists, and didn't mind talking about problems raising awareness.

Ms. Ma said she was also the Chair of the Domestic Violence Subcommittee, and domestic violence was another thing that people, especially Asians, didn't want to talk about. The question was how to break the cycles of violence without talking about it, and there were attempts to get help. Mental illness was another issue. Her mother has severe depression. It wasn't easy for the person who had it, and it also wasn't easy for the family members. These were the issues Ms. Ma said she wanted to talk about, and issues her family maybe didn't like her to talk about. But she thought it was her obligation. She hoped that Senators, the next generation, would feel comfortable enough to get out there and to be advocates for whatever cause they felt strongly about. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by five minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Ude and passed with no objection.

Mr. Mairena asked if she knew the breakdown of hepatitis B within the term "Asian" in terms of how the disease affected Southeast Asians, East Asians, South Asians, and rest of the Asian community. Ms. Ma said she would call on Denis Lam, who perhaps had a breakdown. Mr. Lam said the general average of having hepatitis among Asian Americans, the API community, was 1-in-10. The highest ranking was among Southeast Asian communities, such as Cambodian, Laotian, Hmong, and Vietnamese. As much as 1-in-7 Southeast Asians can have the disease. For Japanese it was 1-in-20. So there was a range. But it averages 1-in-10. The statistics were very disproportional because, since for Caucasians it was 1-in-1,000. So there was a significant disparity. Ms. Ma said they don't know how it started. Maybe it was something in the water or the food in China, which got passed on through generations. But typically, hepatitis B was passed down through the generations. But it also could be transmitted through body fluids. Mr. Lam said the main form of transmission was through birth, with the mother giving it to her child. The vaccination in that case wouldn't do anything. This was something that applied to a lot of college students.

Mr. Tran asked Ms. Ma about her vision for the future of Hep B Free. Ms. Ma said they had a very ambitious goal and would like to eradicate hepatitis B around the world. That was extremely ambitious. They were starting in the Bay Area, and in California, and move across the US, hopefully. It wasn't going to be easy, but people believe that in time, hepatitis B will be something from the past, like mumps or measles. They could use Senators' help, and any way people could help with this would be greatly appreciated.

Ms. Pasco said that seeing no further questions she would like to thank Ms. Ma very much. Ms. Ma said she would like to thank them. (Applause)

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY -- Presentation on Student Fee Referenda by Mr. Permaul and Tina Barnett, Co-Chairs of the Student Fee Referendum Committee

Mr. Permaul introduced Tina Barnett, the Director for the Center for Student Leadership. They'll talk that evening about student fee referenda. The reason they're bringing this up at that time is that typically, during the course of the year, members of the Senate join student organizations and decide they'd like to run a student fee referendum to generate funds for any of a number of causes. A student group was involved with TGIF, and in a two-year process, a group of students worked on it in order to pass The Green Initiative Fund, at a time when most student fees were not being approved.

Mr. Permaul said he and Ms. Barnett were Co-Chairs of the Student Fee Referendum Committee, the administrative committee of the campus that reviews student fee referenda being proposed for the spring ballot. There was an entire administrative process and policy that surrounded this, and policy, of both the Office of the President and the campus, which governed these referenda.

Ms. Barnett introduced herself and said she was the Assistant Dean of Students and was also the Director for the Center for Student Leadership. She wanted to thank the Senate for the opportunity to attend the meeting and talk to them about fee referenda. She and Mr. Permaul wanted to make sure Senators were educated so they could make informed choices and could inform their constituencies most wisely about how to successfully pass a referendum.

Ms. Barnett said the Student Fee Referendum Committee was designed not to be an impediment, but to be a resource for any individuals, departments, or student groups, that were interested in sponsoring fee referenda. So she and Mr. Permaul thought it was really important to come there that evening and make sure that from the beginning, students knew what the hoops were the. They would love to hear Senators' feedback on some of these things.

Ms. Barnett said she passed around a little quiz about student fee referenda. They wanted to get an idea of how knowledgeable Senators may already be on fee referenda. So she would ask Senators to take a few minutes with the quiz and to test their knowledge.

Ms. Barnett said they have a Web site. It wasn't very fancy, but they hope to have it more user friendly for students. Eventually, all of the resources they'll discuss that evening will be posted.

Mr. Permaul said that when he ran the first Class Pass referendum there was no series of procedures from the campus for running a mandatory student fee referendum. At that time he was Director of Parking and Transportation and they wanted to run the Class Pass referendum. Every week he got different administrative direction on how to do that. Literally a week before the vote, the referendum was actually advanced to the students changing the language. It was crazy. Fortunately, the students voted 90-10 to

approve the referendum, but going through the process was a nightmare. But it was a wake up call because more and more students were turning to referenda to generate revenue for their programs. So the Chancellor established the Student Fee Referendum Committee, with the purpose of working with the Vice Chancellor for Administration and the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs, to ensure that a smooth, clear, and transparent process existed for student fee referenda.

Ms. Barnett said that Mr. Permaul put together a handy slide show. The slide being shown listed the fees currently being assessed to Cal students. The list might look familiar as it's what people pay. They include the ASUC Fee, the Student Center Fee, the Ethnic Studies Fee, the Recruitment and Retention Fee, the Campus Health Care Fee, the Rec Sports Fee, the Green Initiative Fee, and the Class Pass Fee. She asked if people had questions about any of the fees listed on the slide.

Mr. Permaul noted that Berkeley campus fees were among the lowest in the UC System, at \$264.74. They could contrast that with UC Davis, where students pay almost \$1,400 a year in fees they've voted for. That \$264.75 was for fees that were referenda at one point and were voted on by the student body and approved, and were currently being assessed. Some of them have been around for a very long time and some have been established in Senators' time at Cal.

Ms. Barnett said that one thing they talk about with groups when the Committee consults with them was about how long fees normally last. That was one of the answers to a quiz question. They really don't like fees without a sunset clause. Students were today paying fees that other students voted on many, many years ago. Students may wonder, after a while, what the rationale was behind a fee, and if the fee was actually even meeting the current needs of students. So the Committee tells people putting on a referendum to really think about perhaps a ten-year period of time. That's what they normally recommend for the length of the fee. It could always go up for re-election.

Mr. Permaul said none of the fees listed were getting ready to end. The Class Pass Fee was a seven-year fee that won't come up for another five years. Ms. Barnett said that was the answer to one of the true/false questions, number 7, "The maximum duration for new, increased, or reauthorized campus fees cannot exceed ten years unless the fee is to support construction projects or debt service." That answer was "true."

Mr. Permaul said there were two ways fees could be established. One was student-initiated fee referenda, what they were focusing on. Often times, student groups, like TGIF, get a cause or issue they want to advance, and they work on it. Usually, because student government turned over in one year, people would start to initiate a fee referendum sometime late in the fall, get it on the ballot in the spring, and see if it passed. That was not a good structure. And recently, it's been a failing structure. In the case of TGIF, because graduate students worked on it, they actually worked over the course of two years and had the momentum and the support for their fee referendum. And that was also something they did with the Class Pass.

Fees that were organized by student groups within one year typically fail because by the time they get to the election, they're just beginning to educate students about what the fee was for. In a climate of rising fees, people don't want to rush out and vote for more. But that's how fees recently have been organized; and they haven't been approved.

Mr. Permaul said that what he and Ms. Barnett were trying to do that evening was to give students some strategies on how to deal with student-initiated fees.

Ms. Barnett said that question number 5 was, "For student-initiated referenda to be voted on in the spring ASUC election, the sponsors should submit their fee proposal to the SFRC by March 15." That was "false." Frankly, it was a trick question because it was also "true." What Mr. Permaul was describing came from a set of guidelines that were currently under review. For the last year, the SFRC has been looking through all of the procedural documents and making changes to them. Increasing the time required was one of the big changes they were putting forward, because they're seeing time and time again that student-initiated fees were failing. One reason was because of poor planning, and people find they don't have the time to do marketing and PR. There also wasn't time for opposition to arise and for the initiators of the referendum to be able to address whatever the concerns the opposition raised. If there was only a couple of weeks in which to do advertising and there's opposition, that becomes pretty apparent. The initiators don't even have an opportunity to meet with those students and find out what their concerns are, and maybe make some modifications and changes. Ms. Barnett said that was what they were really hoping to have folks do. That would mean that for the life cycle of a student, it required a lot more planning. So the SFRC's recommendation was that right now was the time for students to think whether or not they want to propose a referendum in the spring. If they want something to pass, especially in these economic times, this was the best way to go about it.

Ms. Barnett said that in the proposed guidelines the Student Fee Referendum Committee was putting forward, October 15 will be the deadline by which students can come to the Committee to begin work on a referendum in the spring. A Fee Proposal was a general guideline about what students were thinking, what their cause was, what the money would be used for, how much they think it will cost. They would work with the SFRC, which would help by advising the students on how to craft it so the referendum was successful.

Ms. Barnett said the next screen showed the members of the SFRC. They have a broad representation from the staff side. There were also student representatives on the Committee. That brought her to question number 3. "Six students represent the ASUC on the SFRC -- one of which is the ASUC Elections Council Chair." The answer was "true." Again, it was a trick question. It's true and false. Currently, it wasn't true. The SFRC feels that the Committee was too heavily favored to the staff side and not the student side and would like more student representation. The old guidelines say there's a representative from the GA, from the ASUC, and from the Committee on Student Fees. The SFRC's recommendation was that they double all three of those so there would be two reps each from the GA, the ASUC, and the Committee on Student Fees. And because of the critical role played by the Elections Council Chair, they really felt that person needed to be on the Committee. But that was something the Senate and the ASUC needed to think about. They've had conversations with Ms. Pasco and others about this because it will require the selection of the Elections Council Chair much, much sooner than has typically happened. Even though these guidelines have not been formally blessed at that point, she and Mr. Permaul were pretty comfortable going forward and allowing more students now. They really hope that will happen quite quickly by the ASUC, the GA, and the Student Fee Committee, getting more students on the SFRC.

Mr. Permaul said when the ASUC makes these appointments, it was really important to realize that their credibility as a student organization was on the line. If students don't attend these meetings regularly,

decisions are made and things are reviewed, and that information doesn't come back to student government. Then basically it's another case of the students wanting to be represented, but not participating. So it was critical that whoever sat on this committee attend, since it was a consequential student fee and administrative committee.

Ms. Barnett said that was another reason why it was better to have more students than fewer because if someone had a conflict, which naturally happens, there will be a number of other students to be there in order to represent the student voice.

Ms. Barnett said the guidelines are fresh and tidy, and hopefully much more user friendly, particularly for students. They're going through the necessary hoops at that time to get them blessed. They'd love to have Senators' feedback. So if they were interested on commenting, they should let the Committee know and they'd be happy to show them a copy.

The next slide was about timing, and getting a referendum in early, and getting it vetted early. People don't realize all the things that need to happen in order to get a referendum on the ballot. It wasn't just about crafting the language two weeks before the election in the spring, but a lot of back and forth had to happen with the Office of the President. The language had to be approved by the OP before it could even get to the ballot process. And because that took a long time, it was really important to start the back and forth process as early as possible.

Mr. Permaul said there was also a financial analysis that had to occur. Students sometimes think it's easy, but it was actually more complex. One-third of any mandatory student fee must go back to financial aid. So when a referendum is crafted, the actual amount of the fee being proposed had to take that into account. And a fee had to be indexed across time, and the components determined that would cause it to increase across time. They had to determine if the reasons for the fee would cause it to be tilted heavily in one direction over time as opposed to another, and whether they wanted to front load it and charge people more now, or if they wanted to back load it. So there were a whole host of financial calculations and analyses.

The OP scrutinizes language very closely before it approves the Chancellor, in essence, giving it his blessing for the referendum to go before a student vote. Students could go ahead and make a decision without the Chancellor's approval, but when the time came to implement something, the Chancellor had the authority and responsibility from the OP to decide whether or not a fee was responsible. Mr. Permaul said they never want to put the Chancellor in a position where he would say "no." That's why all this work was done upfront, to make sure there wasn't any glitch when the time came and the students voted.

Ms. Barnett said that one thing a lot of students didn't realize when planning a referendum was the answer to question number 6: "Is it possible for a referendum to be approved by the students and still not assessed by the campus?" Unfortunately, that answer was "yes." The SFRC would never want students to go through all the trouble of running a campaign, putting something a vote, having it approved, and then not having the fee assessed. She thought everybody agreed with that. So if they don't want that to happen, then they have to determine what they need to prevent it. And what's needed was enough time to go through the appropriate vetting process. It wasn't outside the realm of possibility that the OP would say that the language that was approved by the students just didn't meet, for whatever legal reasons, the

requirements, with the OP refusing to assess the fee. And then everything became null and void, and a big waste of energy. So the SFRC was trying to prevent that on the front end. As Senators could see, they were harping on the message of being early.

Ms. Barnett said Mr. Permaul mentioned the answer to number 8 when he talked about return to aid: "Return to aid is optional for compulsory campus-based fees." That was "false." It's not optional and was required to be built in. People probably wouldn't think of that unless they've done this before.

Mr. Permaul said that one of the three ways in which the ASUC gets its funds was through mandatory fees, the \$27.50 per semester. There have been various attempts to generate more revenue to the ASUC through referenda, two of which have failed in the last several years. So it was very important to have timing and planning happen upfront so a referendum had a good chance of succeeding. Student governments stood squarely behind the RSF referendum two or three years ago and people could see the results of that in that the use of the RSF was up dramatically. And the amount students are charged is down, as is the amount of deferred maintenance. TGIF is collecting almost \$270,000 a year. The staffperson is about to start working next week. TGIF has already issued grants, starting last year. So student fee referenda could be a fabulous way to help generate revenue for a cause if it's done right.

Ms. Barnett said the last slide showed the elections process and the campaigning that happens, and speaks to question number 4: "Students interested in initiating a referendum must have approval from the ASUC Senate in order to be included in an ASUC election." And that is "false." Senate approval was the most common way, but not the only one. According to ASUC policy, with 10,000 signatures submitted to the Attorney General, a referendum can be placed on the ballot. She thought that was important for the Senate to know.

Connected to that was question number 9: "According to ASUC policy, campaigning guidelines for referenda are the same as for ASUC elected officials." The answer is "false." This was one of the main reasons why one of the referenda failed last year. The myth is that in running a referendum campaign, the same campaign guidelines have to be followed as those running for office. That wasn't true. Last year a group of students came to the SFRC with a referendum. The Committee pushed them to do a lot of advertising early to let students know that this was coming, to get the word out. Again, it would allow opposition to arise and to address it. The Committee thought that was happening, but it turned out it didn't happen.

In a post mortem after the referendum failed, the Committee learned that the students had been under the impression that they could only campaign for the two weeks that candidates for office had to campaign. The students were actually kind of bummed that they didn't investigate it a little bit closer as it could have made or broken passage of the referendum.

Ms. Barnett said that in the Committee's own guidelines, people were encouraged to start advertising the minute Spring Semester starts. It required a little planning. People can't just come back in January and decide they want to pull some things together. But that's normally been what's happened. She called for any questions.

Ms. Barnett asked if anyone was considering running a referendum, or knew of others who might be interested in that. Ms. Cho said there was one possibly on childcare. Mr. Permaul said she'd have to go back to them and tell them the clock was ticking.

Guest Announcements

Mr. Nahabet said he's been flirting with the idea for a Lower Sproul fee referendum. Mr. Permaul said there was a referendum two years ago that ran too late. Because of the way the Regents implemented fee increases at the time, people at the time were waiting to see what that fee structure would look like. If people were thinking of having a referendum, they need to get the political consensus together and bring it to the fore during the fall.

Ms. Barnett said the one thing they'd ask of Senators was that if they hear any inkling at all from anyone, or if they have any ideas, that they contact her or Mr. Permaul. Also, once these guidelines are blessed, the ASUC should take a look at them and see how they jive with their own policies and procedures. The Committee was putting in a lot of timeline requirements that might conflict with ASUC timelines. So they may need to make those adjustments. They really hope to have solid participation from the ASUC. Anyone was always welcome to attend their meetings. She wanted to thank them. Mr. Permaul said he wanted to thank them. (Applause)

GUEST ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Pasco said the next Guest Announcement was from Christine Byon, from the UCSA. (Applause) Ms. Byon introduced herself and said she was one of the Field Organizers for the UC Student Association. The UCSA is a coalition of all UC student governments across the whole State. They do a lot of grassroots organizing, a lot of student-to-student contact, and do advocacy on higher education issues. They meet with State Assemblymembers and State representatives. They work on a lot of access and affordability issues. They also work with Ms. Jirachaikitti's office, and EAVPs across the UC System. They try to work on issues that are very relevant to students. The whole organization was student-run and student-led. They represent over 200,000 students who attend the UC System.

Ms. Byon said she was one of the UCSA's Field Organizer, and one of her campuses was Berkeley. She came into the most contact with the students of any of the UCSA staff and she helps implement tactics, especially around vote work. They did move-in and Welcome Week events, and it's been awesome. A lot of Senators have helped out and volunteered, and took a lot of their time, energy, and effort into registering incoming students. She wanted to thank them for that. As for a little about herself, she just graduated from UC Santa Barbara where she was Ms. Jirachaikitti's counterpart. She called for any questions.

Ms. Oatfield said she understood the UCSA chooses the issues to work on, and asked if it was correct that only issues they feel can be done within that academic year are chosen. Ms. Byon said that was correct. They're looking forward in two-year timelines, or possibly longer. An issue like fees will be an ongoing struggle. But students do tend to vote on issues within the academic year because there's a huge transition that always happens. They try and work on issues that students will be here for and will be passionate about. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend speaking time by five minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Ude and Mr. Zuo and passed with no objection.

Ms. Ude asked what some of the next major projects will be for the UCSA after voter registration. Ms. Byon said they're mainly working on the DREAM Act at that time. There will be action in Sacramento

on the 17th. If people want to hear more about that, they could ask her. She'll also be there on Thursday from noon to 7:00. Even though the vote will be coming soon, they also want to try and get ready for the next vote as well. The DREAM Act was one of the issues that got the highest number of votes at the Congress the UCSA holds every year to decide on the issues to work on for the year. Ms. Pasco said total time had expired. A motion to extend by ten minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Hussain and Mr. Zuo and passed with no objection.

Ms. Oatfield asked where the UCSA got its funding. Ms. Byon said they get dues from student fees. Students vote on whether or not to be a part of the UCSA and get the benefits of membership and of being in a powerful Statewide coalition. The amount varies from campus to campus and was always the choice of students.

Ms. Cho asked if students who weren't part of student government participate in the UCSA as well. Ms. Byon said they really strongly believe in coalitions. The Vote Coalition going on, e.g., was not just at Berkeley, but at a lot of campuses. A lot of other student organizations latch on, such as outreach groups, multicultural orgs, a wide array of student groups who are involved with the UCSA. The UCSA also has actions at the State Capitol to influence the State Legislature, for instance. They also work with AFSCME and other labor unions on campuses. They try and work with a wide array of students. That's how they gain power and legitimacy. So it's not as though they represent just a small bubble of students. Ms. Pasco said that seeing no further questions, she would like to thank Ms. Byon. Ms. Byon said she would like to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next Guest Announcement was from Roberto Hernandez, from CRISES. Mr. Hernandez said he was a former member of the Senate, in '99-2000, he believed. He was there to make an announcement about an event on campus on September 19, something that was definitely of importance to the campus as a whole. It will be part of a week-long series of events on 1968. The event is a national pre-election teach-in on the Iraq war. Politics aside, he wanted to point to the historical significance of this event. There were some events that year of historic significance, including the assassinations of Martin Luther King and Robert Kennedy. '68 is a marker for a global shift in world politics.

They invited a number of speakers, both for and against the war in Iraq. That didn't quite work out, but they have an amazing lineup of internationally renowned speakers who will travel to the Bay Area for the event. The reason of the teach-in was also to draw on the role Berkeley itself played in the '60s. From 1965 to '71 there were a series of teach-ins on Vietnam. A number of people involved in various different struggles throughout the world in 1968 will be on campus. A flier was distributed and a schedule for the full week of events was available at greatrehearsal.org. On Friday, his group, CRISES, a group in Ethnic Studies, will host and organize an event, along with a number of campus groups. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by ten minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Shah and Ms. Ude and passed with no objection.

Mr. Hernandez said speakers who will be there include Daniel Ellsberg, who wrote *The Pentagon Papers*. A former ASUC President, from 1969-70, Dan Siegel, will also attend. Mr. Hernandez said he wanted to extend an invitation to Senators. The ASUC played a central role in a lot of events that happened, and this will be a good opportunity to meet Mr. Siegel, along with other Vietnam veterans, academics, activists, legal scholars, lawyers, etc. It will be next Friday, September 19. It will be an all-day

event at the Multicultural Center, in MLK, from 9 a.m. to 6:00. He wanted to extend an invitation to all of them. (Applause)

Mr. Zuo asked what he meant when he said inviting both sides didn't work out. Mr. Hernandez said they wanted to have a debate between Prof. John Yoo, of the Law School and another speaker, but in the end, one had to cancel. The other speaker then dropped out since they only wanted to debate each other. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. Seeing no further questions she would like to thank Mr. Hernandez. Mr. Hernandez said he would like to thank them. (Applause)

REPORTS FROM REPRESENTATIVES TO THE ASUC

Report from the Chancellor's Designee

Mr. Poullard said he would yield his time to Mr. Permaul, who would cover what Mr. Poullard said he was going to address to the Senate.

Mr. Permaul said Dean Poullard has set up a program for student groups to obtain liability insurance for activities that may require insurance. Senators need to make sure all student groups understand the terms for arranging that insurance. That day they received information from the campus Office of Risk Management that clearly outlines what student groups need to do.

As most of them were aware, students don't just get space from the ASUC, but from the Office of the Registrar. The Registrar was now requiring the same approach to liability coverage that the ASUC did. He brought copies of the steps and procedures that student groups will need to follow if they require liability coverage for an event or activity. There should be no impediment for students getting liability insurance because there was now a funding source available through the generosity of Student Affairs, which was making this possible.

As Dean Poullard pointed out last time, a very low number of student groups actually applied for liability coverage last year. The number should go up significantly because groups engage in activities that require coverage. Since financial hardship was not an impediment, it was just a matter of following the procedures. He called for any questions.

Mr. Tran asked if the same policies would apply to student groups that go through other building management. Mr. Permaul said they would apply if groups go through ASUC facilities, Residential Life, or Cal Performances. And it certainly will be true for the general assignment of classrooms. The one place they'll have to take a much more engaged look at was space that department control; and they had a lot of space on campus. The word was being sent out by Risk Management that all space falls under the purview of these kinds of requirements. But it is possible, if the School of Engineering allows student groups in its framework to hold events in its facilities, it was possible such groups might not be aware that they had to go through this liability process. So Senators should be sure their student groups were aware that they were taking on the burden of responsibility for liability even if they go into a setting where they think they're not required to have liability.

Ms. Yang asked how long the process would take and how many days ahead student groups will need to complete this process. Mr. Permaul said he couldn't give a specific answer, but Risk Management was committed to turning these things around quickly. As a rule of thumb, he would suggest going to Risk Management a minimum of a week prior to an event. Mr. Poullard said that if students go to Risk Management the day of an event, or just before one, they wouldn't have coverage.

Ms. Flores asked what the procedures were for liability coverage if a student organization had an external event. Mr. Permaul said that when a group goes to an off-campus venue, then the student org was fully on its own and would follow the rules and procedures established by the venue. Most venues have those procedures. For events in a private home or a facility that wasn't governed by business procedures, then the student group had to be extremely knowledgeable and be sure to get insurance from an outside vendor. The Auxiliary, Student Affairs, could assist in that and point them to insurance coverage, but couldn't get insurance for a group. The insurance the campus was providing was only for events on the campus.

Ms. Hussain asked if all student groups were supposed to be notified about this by the Office of the Registrar. Mr. Permaul said that was correct. Every student group seeking space from the register will receive a copy of these procedures. Mr. Poullard said information will also be sent to all group signatories.

Ms. Rodriguez moved to go to Appointments. The motion was seconded by Mr. Zuo and passed with no objection.

Ms. Dhar moved to go to Reports from Representatives to the ASUC. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nahabet and passed with no objection.

Report from the UCPD Liaison

Sgt. Tucker they might want to talk to him about what was going on up the hill, with the tree sitters, but he'd first hit a couple of other things. By now everyone there has signed up for WarnMe, at warnme.berkeley.edu. He would ask them to encourage everybody else to sign up. The PD was really keying on this and they think it might make a difference in an emergency or life-and-death situation. Also, the Urban Shield event will be held that weekend, and it wasn't too late to volunteer. If they know people who would like to volunteer and learn a little bit more about the Police Department and do community service, they'd love to have more volunteers. It was looking good on Saturday in terms of numbers, but they needed people for Saturday night, Sunday, and Sunday night. The number to call to volunteer was 643-1810.

Sgt. Tucker said a Senator last week asked about a new Web site, ucrime. He took a look at it and he had a mixed impression. The data specific to the UC Berkeley location was a little bit old and wasn't quite as up-to-date. People could get a lot of the same information, and more recent information, through the UCPD Web site. It wasn't a competition, but for ucrime service to really be of value, he thought they'd

need to have something more current. He wasn't opposed to it in concept and thought having more information to make decisions was a good idea. The map feature was nice, but there may be room for improvement on the Web site.

Sgt. Tucker said he's been meaning to mention something about CalMail. The UCPD receives contacts weekly about this. If the accountmail.berkeley.edu page, they'll see an announcement about this. Sgt. Tucker said he also received an e-mail saying his CalMail account will be deleted in seven days if he didn't send in his password. Account mail knows their password, and people don't need to send it to them. Sgt. Tucker said people should use good sense. When they get an e-mail like that, it was probably not legitimate, and it was probably not a good idea to share personal information, such as passwords, over e-mail.

Aside from the activity at Memorial Stadium, the UCPD was involved in a few other things over the past week. He noticed some similarities between this event and the event Sen. Yang announced last week. On Wednesday, at about 6 p.m. there was a robbery by force only, no weapon. Somebody came up to a male victim, a student, at Grant and Ellsworth, and forcibly took his iPod. Both University and City police responded and found someone matching the description nearby. He was positively identified and the person was arrested. That might be related to Ms. Yang's report. Also on Wednesday, earlier, at about 7 a.m., there was a 911 call of a woman with a knife in People's Park acting in a strange and aggressive manner. City officers were the first to arrive, approached the person matching the description. The officer who first approached her actually ended up in a fight with her. Unfortunately, she injured the officer, but she was arrested. The officer will be back probably in another week. Several knives were found on her person. Finally, on Saturday, at about 2 a.m., UCPD officers responded to a report of a man brandishing a large kitchen knife in front of Raleigh's. Two UCPD bicycle officers were the first on the scene, a great example of how bicycles can get to places faster than cars can, and found someone acting in a threatening manner holding an object that was consistent in shape and size with a kitchen knife. The UCPD officers withdrew their firearms, gave commands, and that person, although maintaining a threatening stance, did comply and no force was used. The object was discovered to be a large, gray comb, in the shape and size of a kitchen knife. The individual received some mental health care.

The UCPD was in the paper that day about, and the situation with the High-Performance Athletic Center site up the hill was brought to a conclusion on Tuesday with the removal of the last protesters from trees. The UCPD was happy there were no injuries and that everything went peacefully. There were some scuffles on the ground, unfortunately, but it was minor. There were a few minor injuries, but nothing too debilitating. By and large, it was a peaceful and successful resolution to something that has been an ever present part of their lives for almost two years. He thought they were all glad to move forward at that point. There was a great chronology in the *S.F. Chronicle* that day, and at sfgate. The injunction was what really held up the University. The University complied with the injunction and waiting for the completion of the lawsuits, which happened last week, when the injunction was not continued. From what he understood, there will be some light construction that semester and heavy construction will begin after the conclusion of the football season. He called for any questions.

Mr. Moghtader asked how much the entire ordeal of the tree sitters cost the Police Department in a dollar value. Sgt. Tucker said the number he's seen, which he couldn't verify, was \$1.5 million. He didn't know how reliable that was, but it didn't seem out of proportion. There were 20 months of some level of dedicated police service; a private guard company; fencing; injuries; a lot of things that add up.

Ms. Oatfield said that having gone through this for approximately two years, she asked if there were any lessons the UCPD has taken away, or anything they'd do differently. Sgt. Tucker said he was sure there were a lot of little lessons along the way. One thing that was hardest to deal with was the fact that so much of it was out of their control as a police department. They were waiting for a resolution in the courts, and there were some economic factors at stake. Could they have gone in and removed people from the trees at an earlier time? Perhaps. But he thought it was a wise decision not to because they couldn't have guaranteed that people wouldn't just go and do it again. People were determined and would find a way over a fence. About a month ago they saw people climbing across Piedmont Ave., which was really impressive. So where there's a will, there's a way. They need to move when the time was right. In June they moved in and did a large removal of gear and increased their staffing. He thought they anticipated a little faster resolution process. In retrospect, they would have liked to have that timed closer to the resolution that happened on Tuesday, so there wasn't such an extended stay up there. It was very draining on officers and staff. But they did their best to predict what the next move would be and to take the appropriate precautions. He had to say that scaffolding seemed to be an excellent idea. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by three minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Owens and Ms. Dhar and passed with no objection.

Mr. Owens asked about the status of the tree sitters, and what the next step was for them. And he asked about the idea of scaffolding. Sgt. Tucker said the tree sitters were still in custody and he believed a hearing was set for Thursday. He wasn't directly involved in that process. As for the scaffolding, he was pretty sure that was Chief Harrison's idea. He thought she was inspired by the work on Barrows Hall and the constant state of scaffolding there.

Mr. Smelko asked what security issues might come up once the construction starts. He asked if they saw any potential problems with further protests now that the trees were gone. Sgt. Tucker said that they know from many years of experience in Berkeley that there is a way of life there, a tradition of civil disobedience, free speech, protests, and acts like these. They're not that unusual. So he was sure there will be additional situations, demonstrations, and protests and civil disobedience. That was part of their identity and history there, and one of the things that makes Berkeley a very interesting place to be. As a Police Department, they don't advocate violation of laws. They know that people are inspired to create change, and great change can come about because of that, and they know the meaningfulness of civil disobedience comes for the fact that people were willing to face a penalty for their actions. The PD saw no reason to have a relationship of animosity with someone who had that goal in mind. As for the site itself, the possibility of future protests has been mentioned. He wasn't sure there would be any point to something now. But there were probably a lot of other causes and concerns that were likely to draw attention. Hopefully they'll move on. There will be some security at the site, he was sure. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by five minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Shah and Ms. Rodriguez and passed with no objection.

Ms. Shah yielded time to Roberto Hernandez. Mr. Hernandez asked about Chief Harrison's decision to allow members of the local Native community to have a prayer ceremony at the site, in light of the whole ordeal, and to also provide the stump of the grandmother oak, the larger older tree there, for the making of drums and other ceremonial objects. Chief Harrison has previously suggested that the local Native community would have access to the prayer ceremony, but that was now no longer the case, and asked why that was the case. Sgt. Tucker said he didn't talk to the Chief or Assistant Chief about that that day. He believed there was something in the works about that. The stump that was referred to as the "grandmother tree," the oldest tree there, and probably one of the nicest looking trees at the site, was still in

place. A request was made to the campus and the contractor to preserve that stump until that discussion is continued. The Chief had something on her calendar on Thursday and he believed the UCPD will look at a way to make that happen. They intend to pursue that.

Ms. Oatfield said that some community members have suggested the establishment of a land use and capital projects committee comprised of a variety of students, faculty, staff, and community members, to advise the campus on future capital projects as a way to prevent ordeals like this. She asked if he knew of anything was happening with that. Sgt. Tucker said that was not a Police Department area of authority. They don't typically have much to do with land use decisions. The University, when planning new projects, always has some sort of community involvement. That's not always well known, and maybe that could be improved, but there's never an intention by the University, in his opinion, to exclude the community from part of the process.

Seeing no further questions, Ms. Pasco said she would like to thank him for his report. Sgt. Tucker said he would like to thank them. (Applause)

Mr. Tran moved to go to the Special Order. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mairena and passed with no objection.

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY -- Meditation Session with Garnesh Nagaraj, Art of Living Club

Garnesh Nagaraj introduced himself and said he was the President of the Art of Living Club at Cal. They do yoga, meditation, and different leadership programs. He introduced Dwight Weatherspoon, a new instructor.

A meditation session was held.

Back in regular session, Ms. Pasco said the Chair would entertain a motion for a two-minute recess. It was so moved and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Yang and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed. Back in session, a motion to extend the recess by two minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Mr. Moghtader and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed.

GUEST ANNOUNCEMENTS (cont'd)

Ms. Pasco said the next Guest Announcement was from Van Le, speaking on Project Michelle. Mr. Le said he would like to thank them for having him, and he really appreciated it. He graduated from Cal in 2002, a long time ago. He just got married in May. His wife, Michelle Maykin, is also a Cal grad, from '04.

Mr. Le said that last February his wife was diagnosed with leukemia. She went through chemotherapy and they thought everything was good, but she had a relapse in May. So now, to be cured, she needed a bone marrow transplant. For the last four months they've gotten hundreds of volunteers across the nation and the world to set up registration drives to sign up to register to be donors. People are put on a registry and see if they match Michelle and other patients. They've set up a group called "Project Michelle." It wasn't just about Michelle, but about her and 6,000 other patients. Every day, 6,000 patients are looking for a match. Their only cure will be through a bone marrow transplant. So they were there to educate and create awareness and let people know that all the myths, all the things they learned growing up about bone marrow transplants, has actually changed a lot. It's not as painful as it used to be.

To register didn't require any blood or needles, and just took ten minutes. People fill out a form and take a swab from their cheek, and they're in the registry until their 61 years old. And they could potentially save someone's life.

When people donate bone marrow, 70% of the time it's almost like giving blood. People sit in a chair for four hours and watch a couple of DVDs. Blood is taken out of the donors arm, it's cycled through a machine, and they get their blood back, without losing any blood. For the other 30% of the time, the bone marrow is taken out of the hip. They give the donor anesthesia and most people go to work the next day. In the past, the marrow was taken out of the spine.

Mr. Le said they're doing a huge, two-day registration drive at Cal on September 17-18, from 10:00 to 4:00. It will be at the Campanile and on Sproul. He was there to request some help from the Senate. He knew they were really connected and knew a lot of people and a lot of groups on campus. He had a few suggestions, but he was there for a little input to help spread the word and help Michelle and others.

Mr. Le said he had a message to play from Michelle and then talk about some ideas he had. A video was shown. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by five minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Shah and passed with no objection.

Mr. Le said that as Michelle mentioned in the video, they really need Senators' help in terms of just reaching out, and also during the drive, to perhaps flier and make classroom announcements. They have support from 10 to 15 organizations. They're trying to make this a really huge drive. They'd like to register 1,000, which was a lot, a huge goal, a stretch, but something he thought they could do. That summer has been really successful, and they've registered over 13,000 people. They've had lots of preliminary matches, some for Michelle, a lot for other patients. He just learned yesterday that somebody who registered at one of their drives was going to be a donor. So through everybody's effort, they've saved a life. It wasn't Michelle's, but it meant that all their efforts weren't in vain. For him, of course, he wanted to save Michelle. The thing about cancer is that a lot of times people can't do anything about it. He was sure that a lot of them have been touched by cancer, with their families and friends. A lot of times it was up to doctors, medicine, and God. But this time they could actually do something about it. They could send an e-mail and tell their friends and family and groups they're part of to help. It took 10 minutes just to see if they could save Michelle or somebody else. That was the only commitment being asked. They'll be registering for six hours on two days at two locations, and hopefully that will make it as easy as possible for students. If Senators have ideas about how they could help, he was open to any suggestions.

Ms. Chen asked about what they could do if there already registered in terms of updating addresses. Mr. Le said their Web site, projectmichelle.com had a lot of information. If they're already registered, the

biggest thing to do was to update their contact information, and they could do that through the Web site. There are also online kits for registering that could be ordered from the Web site. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. A motion to extend speaking time by five minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Ude and Ms. Rodriguez and passed with no objection.

Ms. Ude asked what the process was to register and to donate. Mr. Le said that to register, people will be asked to fill out a form with their contact information, a brief medical history, and to then do a cheek swab. Four Q-tips are rubbed against their cheek, put in an envelope, after which it's processed. Three weeks after that they'll be in the registry and they'll be "typed." It was kind of like blood. People get six numbers and they'd see if they match Michelle's. For the donation part, the potential donor will be called and told they were a potential match for a patient, who was anonymous. The donor will be asked to give a blood sample to make sure they're healthy and that they're actually a good match. The actual donation process was what he described before. Most of the time, 70%, donation was from the arm, 30% through the hips.

Ms. Ude asked about the procedure to get the marrow. Mr. Le said people have marrow cells in their bones and have stem cells in their blood. Either stem cells or marrow cells can produce white blood cells, red blood cells, and platelets. For 70% of donations, they process the donor's blood and take out stem cells. When donors walk away, they don't feel any different, and that part was actually a little easier than giving blood, because people have to rest after giving blood. The stem cells are literally just put into Michelle, after they do some stuff to Michelle. They're taking the donor's immune system and giving it to Michelle, as her immune system was not functional. For taking marrow out of the hip, the donor is given anesthesia, they do the procedure, and suture the donor up.

Ms. Hussain asked if there are any requirements or criteria that potential donors need to meet in order to donate stem cells. Mr. Le said the Web site has a long list of requirements. Generally, donors have to be between 18 to 60 years old and can't have a herniated disk, and can't have had cancer before. For the most part, young people in college fit right in.

Ms. Yang asked if there was point person people could direct students to. Mr. Le said the easiest way was to contact them through the Web site. If anybody wanted to help, that's where they should be directed, and they could get in contact with the actual people running the drives.

Ms. Cho asked how rare it was to find a match. Mr. Le said it was rare. But that shouldn't be discouraging. There was a huge shortage of minorities on the registry. Minorities have a 30% chance of matching, and Caucasians have a 70-80% chance. For many of the patients, a transplant was the only way they can be cured. So it was really important for so many patients in so many demographics. People go through four months of chemotherapy because they can't find a donor. There are 6,000 patients every day who need to find a match. Ms. Pasco said speaking time had expired. Mr. Le said he would like to thank them for their time. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next Guest Announcement was from Robert Yeh, from SUPERB. Mr. Yeh said SUPERB has started hiring and had their first session on Monday, with a decent turnout. Their second session will be on Thursday. Last Friday they showed "Iron Man" and had a pretty good turnout, despite other events going on around campus. Their film calendar is finalized. The Campus MovieFest will be on Friday at 7:30, and Student Advocate Matt DeMartini's film debut. Friday will also be their first noon

show, "Cute Leopards," in Lower Sproul. Lewis Black will be at Zellerbach on Saturday the 20th. Their Web site was being renovated and they could check out their events at supberb.berkeley.edu. As a final note, due to budget cuts and financial constraints, SUPERB's Comedy Department was likely going to try and save up for a big event in the spring and was taking a different path, and trying to have humorous content aimed at Cal undergrads on the Web site that was accessible to everyone.

Mr. Moghtader asked how many movies were entered in the Campus MovieFest. Mr. Yeh said there were about 40. Around 120 teams signed up, and about 80 teams picked up equipment.

Ms. Pasco said the next Guest Announcement was from Dennis Lam, from Team Hep B Free. Mr. Lam said he had some fliers he wanted to pass out. Events were listed that will happen next week during the Awareness Week. Their official press conference will be at 11 a.m. at the Tang Center, and he'd like to invite Senators to attend. They'll announce the official launch of Cal Hep B Free, with local and campus news media, people from the City of Berkeley, the Public Health division. The following day will be the concert put on by the California Hep B Free Tour. There will be events on campus and in the local community supporting this cause. They're giving out a lot of great things, and the ASUC Auxiliary was sponsoring them and helping with great prizes such as Lecture Notes, Art Studio classes. People will also get a chance to win \$1,000 for themselves or a student organization. Lastly, on Wednesday, the event will be Hep B and the Medical Career, a teach-in and information-oriented event on why people should get tested. If students were pre-health, science, or biotech and would like to find out about options and careers that were available, this was an event they should go to. Doctors and scientists from Kaiser and Gilead Sciences, alumni, will give presentations and answer questions.

Report from the ASUC Store Operations Board

Mr. Permaul said that last week he talked about building hours and security for Eshleman and the MLK Union. The date is approaching, October 1, for changes, and many of them have already signed up on the 4th floor to get authorized access through their ID cards. They're going to change the presentation, and won't call them "building hours," because after talking it through, they don't want to create the impression that there was a time when the building was open and yet wasn't safe and secure. So they're going to post "business hours." After October 1, people will have to use their authorized ID card to gain access. They've decided to press forward and they're also looking at having card access on the doors of all ASUC doors and stairwell doors. They still have a problem of people propping doors open. Once this change is made, if a door is propped open, that would register with the Police Department, and that will not only cost the ASUC money, but will bring the police into the building. Mr. Permaul said this will be a very expensive project, but he couldn't figure another way to bring up the level of security they need in the building, because of its constant access. If people have questions or thoughts about this, he would ask them to please write or visit him.

Mr. Permaul said he wanted to let them know that Kaplan Testing has made a formal proposal to the Auxiliary to move into one of the vacant spaces in the Student Union, the area that used to be the Postal Annex. Kaplan was willing to pay a significant rent. The Auxiliary also negotiated a deep discount that

will be offered to all Pell Grant students to take exam prep courses. The Auxiliary was also waiting to hear back from management about two possible internships for Business School students who would like to work with the company. There might also be other perquisites coming for members of student government. The proposal will be presented to the Board if Kaplan comes back in a timely way.

Mr. Permaul said the Special Orders that he mentioned to him have been reversed, and Vice Chancellor Nathan Brostrom will come on September 24, from 8:00 to 9:00, and Athletics Director Sandy Barbour will attend October 15, from 7:30 to 8:15.

Mr. Permaul said a previous speaker asked if the campus would honor certain negotiations that were made with the protesters at the oak grove, and Mr. Permaul said he thought it would be appropriate to ask Vice Chancellor Brostrom about that. The Vice Chancellor oversees Intercollegiate Athletics and was deeply involved in negotiations. The Senate could also invite Assistant Vice Chancellor for Campus Planning Emily Marthinsen, who has talked to the Senate the last two years, to make a presentation about the capital program on the campus. She could speak to all land use policies on the Berkeley campus.

On a personal note, Mr. Permaul said the last speaker's presentation was very moving to him personally since his wife is a seven-year survivor of breast cancer. It's very important that people take the time and the opportunity to go out to campus constituents and just ask them to consider going and registering. It was such a little thing to ask, and he hoped people in the room do that.

Mr. Permaul said the ASUC and CLL will do a new student advising collaboration called "Events 101." Already 20 student groups have signed up for it, and they expect a lot more. It will be a seminar presented by Campus Life and Leadership, by the student advisors, and Student Affairs, upstairs, and the Student Union Director, Peter Quintin. They'll talk about how to effectively put on a special event. Mr. Permaul said he would urge Senators to have their student groups participate. This really was about learning to do project management. There will be budgeting and marketing components and something about choosing the right venue. It will be a well-presented and carefully planned training session. Student groups were already rushing to participate. So he would encourage Senators to get their groups to sign up. Amanda Carlton, in CLL, was the person taking the reservations.

Mr. Permaul said he wrote Senators that morning about the Campaign for Berkeley. The University tends to be pretty low-key, except for two activities each year. One event was the Charter Banquet, held to honor the founding of the UC; and the Berkeley campus was the University of California. So they honor the founding of the Berkeley campus every year. And the other activity was the kick off of major capital campaign kick-off, a \$3 billion campaign. It will focus, in great part by the Chancellor, on generating funds for scholarships and fellowships, and also to bring underrepresented communities to the campus and ensure that they have the same privilege that those who are going through now and those who went through in earlier times have a chance to enjoy. He passed out the formal invitation for the Banquet from the Chancellor to each Senator. He also passed around a sign-up sheet. They'll be sitting with donors, people who donated \$1 million and up, talking to the wealthiest Cal supporters, as well as with major campus administrators. They'll be there to also hear about the Student Union complex and about Lower Sproul, if students talk to them about it, as well as the capital campaign. The Charter Banquet is a formal event, with tuxedos and formal dress, or business attire, if they don't have a tuxedo. It's always been the case that the Berkeley campus has been a partnership between private and public, from Phoebe Apperson Hearst, Hubert Howe Bancroft, and Jane K. Sather, in the 19th Century, up through the Haas's, the Heller's, the Zellerbach's, and others. The Heller's gave money for the Student Union complex when

it was built. So there's always been that partnership. This shouldn't be any problem for Senators since they also give out money from the ASUC's endowment that the Senate uses to fund scholarships. He hoped Senators will be able to attend. The Chancellor was specifically hoping that the leaders of student government be there. He's already gotten a response from the Graduate Assembly, which will send officers as well. Mr. Permaul said that this was such a swell event that neither he nor Mr. Poullard were invited. So Senators were big time. It will be a wonderful event. He called for any questions.

Ms. Rodriguez asked if students will be invited to the next conversation with Kaplan. Mr. Permaul said they could if they'd like. Kaplan came to look at the space. Mr. Permaul said they'll talk about this at the SOB meeting if Kaplan makes a proposal in time. If Kaplan reps come back, he'll be happy to invite Senators.

Mr. Nahabet asked if the SOB has considered other businesses and student services besides Kaplan. Mr. Permaul said there was an outstanding interest by companies that provide readers. There was an interest by some students on campus to have readers produced on campus rather than having to go to a variety of locations. There would be one location the campus would designate for the production of readers. That's a question that he thought would best be directed to Follett, since it has some open space remaining. Mr. Permaul said it wasn't clear to him whether the space Follett had that would be offered would be large enough for a campus printing service.

Ms. Oatfield asked how much Kaplan was offering. Mr. Permaul said that was something he couldn't disclose until he shared it with the SOB. It's a business proposal, a confidential business conversation. But he'd be happy to keep the Senate apprised. Also, any Senator can attend SOB meetings.

Mr. Smelko asked what type of services would be offered in that space, the former Postal Annex. Mr. Permaul said it would simply be Kaplan's office. He understood Princeton Review has taken the space on Telegraph Ave. that was previously occupied by Mrs. Fields Cookies, a personal loss to him. The Auxiliary was trying to make it worth it to Kaplan to be in the Student Union. Seeing no further questions, Ms. Pasco said she would like to thank him for his report. (Applause)

Begin written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

September 3, 2008

ASUC Senate: Auxiliary Director
Store Operations Board Report

The next meeting of the Store Operations Board is on September 23, 2008 at 6:00 p.m. in either the Senate Chambers or another location to be determined. Please consider attending.

I have updates for you on issues relating to the business enterprises and services of the ASUC and the Auxiliary:

1. Building Hours and Security for Eshleman Hall and MLK, Jr. Union: After a careful review of the draft Building Hours format I shared with you last week, we have dramatically altered the presentation without changing the substance -- except for the typo on a.m. vs. p.m. We intend to

 Written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

post the "Business Hours" of Eshleman and MLK, Jr. Union so as not to give anyone the sense that the building could be more easily vulnerable late into the evening. We are now looking at installing new locks to the doors on ASUC floors as well, that will work on the same premise as the access to the elevators, i.e., authorized card key access. We are also looking to do the same to the doors to the stairwell since we seem unable to stop people from propping them open. Doors to the stairwell and to the offices will sound an alarm to UCPD if doors remain open beyond a fixed and short time span.

2. Student Liability Insurance: We now have clear direction from the Campus Office of Risk Management on how to proceed with liability for events scheduled on the campus. If a student event believes that it may need insurance for its activity, the outline I am distributing explains what the Office of Risk Management will require in order to put insurance in place. Please read it carefully and share it with your student organizations. The Registrar's Office is now providing this document to any student group that comes to make reservations in General Assignment Classrooms. It is the responsibility of the student group to follow the procedures and liability will rest entirely with them. Remember, the Dean has set up a process for student groups to apply for funds for liability coverage, so there should be no reason not to obtain coverage and to follow the procedures if necessary.
3. Kaplan's Testing Service: Kaplan's Testing Service has made a proposal to the ASUC to locate an office in the Student Union Mall where the old Postal Annex used to be located, and in the vacant space next to Naia's. It is not the space vacated by STA Travel, but closer to the doorway to the Cal Student Store. Kaplan's has proposed higher rent for the space, possible student internships through the Business School for students to work in the store, deep discounts for Pell Grant students who wish to take exam prep courses, and other possible considerations for access to the space. We are waiting to hear back from their management, and then would present this concept to the ASUC Store Operations Board for authorizations to move forward with a contract.
4. Other Special Orders: I have also proposed two other Special Orders later in the month and one in October. The order is reversed from that which I presented to you last meeting. At the first scheduled for Wednesday September 24, the Senate would hear from Vice Chancellor Nathan Brostrom of Administration. Nathan is ultimately my boss, and is responsible for a number of large student programs on the campus (i.e., Recreational Sports, Intercollegiate Athletics, The Student Health Service, and of course the ASUC). He is also the Chancellor's Budget Officer and manager. He was unable to attend the Senate training, so we would be asking him to come in November to present on the campus budget, and how that budget could help to support co-curricular student programs on the campus. He is scheduled from 8:00 to 9:00 p.m.

Intercollegiate Athletic Director Sandy Barbour is scheduled on Wednesday October 15, from 7:30 to 8:15 p.m. on the goals of that large student program, and how the ASUC could work more closely with Athletics. Recall that student government once managed athletics, so it would be natural for there to be a strong connection between the two programs. I also have proposed a Special Order for

Written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

5. ASUC and CLL Student Advising Collaboration: Beginning with a pilot presentation on September 18, student groups will be able to get an in-depth seminar on scheduling special events named "Events 101." Already over twenty student groups have signed up for this class that will be presented by the Office of Campus Life and Leadership in conjunction with the ASUC Office of Student Affairs and Student Union Director Peter Quintin, who is responsible for reservations. Students who go through the training will receive a certificate of completion and will be entitled to special privileges associated with setting up events, including priority access. Student groups interested in signing up for this new and innovative training should contact Amanda Carlton at CLL. The goal of this training is to help student groups prepare for project management through learning how to run successful campus events. Marketing and promotion will also be presented, budgeting, learning how to choose the right venue, and other useful information. I urge you to promote this program to your student groups.

6. The Campaign for Berkeley: The Chancellor is inviting you to attend the banquet that will begin "The Campaign for Berkeley", the next major fund-raising campaign for the Berkeley campus. As you may know, this campaign designed by Chancellor Birgeneau, is aimed at generating substantial amounts of funding for undergraduate and graduate scholarships and fellowships. Attendees will be donors of \$1 million or more who seek to meet and get to know students.

Date: Saturday, September 20, 2008

Location: Memorial Glade (in front of Doe Library)

Time: 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

Dress: Formal, or business dress

I strongly recommend that you attend this event. Some of these same individuals will be the ones who we will need to support the development of the Student Union complex as the Heller's, Zellerbach's, and Pauley's did fifty years ago when the current Student Union complex was built. At that time, student government leaders were close to Cal's donors and cultivated them for the benefit of all students. I urge you to do the same. We have always been a partnership between private giving and public trust. As the public side has waned in the last decade, the need for strong private support is a key element in preserving both Cal's academic reputation and access for those who are limited by marginal resources. Just as you use the "private" ASUC funding from the Boston Trust to create scholarships, so we will need major donors to support access for future Cal students in an era of rising fees.

I will provide a list of those who will agree to attend so that you can be seated at table throughout the event. I will circulate a sign up list tonight at the Senate, Executives and Graduate Assembly members please send me your names by Monday of next week, since the event is next Saturday. I will ask if there are vegetarian options (I believe they always have that option for these events so if you want a vegetarian meal, please indicate on the sign-up sheet or when you e-mail me.)

If you have questions about the event, please feel free to contact Maya Goehring-Harris, former Chair of the Cal Rally Committee, currently U.C. Berkeley professional staff person at University Relations. She can be reached on her cell phone at 510-812-8562.

Written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

Please feel free to send me an e-mail to ask follow up questions. Of course, you are always welcome to write me, or visit during my office hours (Mon. 11:00 a.m. to noon, and Thurs. 11:00 to noon), or to schedule a time that would be convenient to you.

Submitted by: Nadesan Permaul
Director of the ASUC Auxiliary

End written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

Mr. Sakaue moved to go to Appointments. The motion was seconded by Ms. Rodriguez and passed with no objection.

APPOINTMENTS

Ms. Pasco said that for this portion of the meeting, they'll have five minutes to ask the appointees questions. The first appointment to be considered was Adi Afek, Chief-of-Staff in the Office of the Executive Vice President, with a stipend of \$1,500.

Ms. Afek introduced herself and said she was a third-year undergrad studying Anthropology. She was there that evening to ask for them to approve her stipend of \$1,500 for the position of Chief-of-Staff for Ms. Pasco, the EVP. She's been working in the position for the past three months. She organized and facilitated the staff retreat. They have a staff of about 15 people. She also organizes weekly check-ins with staff, holds staff meetings, and has check-ins with Ms. Pasco. She serves as Ms. Pasco's proxy when she's unavailable for meetings. For example, that summer Ms. Afek said she attended meetings for the hiring of the Lower Sproul redevelopment architect. She spends over 20 hours a week on the job and has been active as COS since May. She called for any questions.

Ms. Dhar asked if the architect was hired already. Ms. Afek said the hiring process happened over the summer. For the last section of it, they cut it down to three architects, and she was involved in listening to the architects' presentations.

Ms. Dhar asked what goals the architect expressed and what they intended to do in redeveloping the Plaza. Ms. Afek said she didn't know if she was the best qualified person to answer that question, and that should be directed to the Lower Sproul redevelopment Committee. She believed some Senators sit on that body, and they'd be better to ask that question.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE ADI AFEK AS CHIEF-OF-STAFF IN THE EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE, WITH A \$1,500 STIPEND, PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 18-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointee up for consideration was Stephanie Puentes, for Chief-of-Staff for the External Affairs Vice President, with a stipend of \$1,500.

Ms. Puentes introduced herself and said she was a fourth-year Psychology major and Public Policy minor. She was there for the Senate's approval of the \$1,500 stipend for the EAVP office's Chief-of-Staff. Her work as COS started with the transition between former EAVP Montes and current EAVP Jirachaikitti. They had a transition retreat in late May, early June, and they had a UCSA Congress at the beginning of July. She assisted in some of the planning for that before she went to study abroad. When she came back, they started working on the visioning for the ASUC as well as for the office. They sent out applications, had interviews, and now they have an 18-person staff. They're missing just one vacancy. They just had their staff retreat on August 30 and their first staff meeting was on Monday. They've officially kicked off the voter registration campaign, which started at the beginning of the semester with move-in day for freshmen. They're continuing that campaign and getting their staff together to work on other campaigns as well. She called for any questions.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE STEPHANIE PUENTES AS CHIEF-OF-STAFF FOR THE EXTERNAL AFFAIRS VICE PRESIDENT, WITH A STIPEND OF \$1,500, PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 18-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointee to consider was Shaleen Shanbhag, Chief-of-Staff for the Office of the Academic Affairs Vice President, with a stipend of \$1,500.

Ms. Shanbhag said she's a third-year in PEIS and Public Policy. This will hopefully be her third year with the ASUC. Her first year she was an intern with Sen. Ali Ansari, a Senator who helped to bring Jimmy Carter to the campus. Last year she sat as Vice Chair of the Senate and was also Director of Senate Affairs. As for what she did that summer, she helped Mr. De la Cruz draft the office structure, as well as on applications for their staff and for Academic Senate reps. They also interviewed and appointed all staff and Senate reps. They have a staff of about 30. One department was present that evening and the Senate will hear from them. They had their retreat that past weekend and it was a great success. They had a pretty big turnout and did a lot of office visioning. The retreat was held at Memorial Glade. They're getting set with check-ins with departments and meetings with Mr. De la Cruz. She called for any questions.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE SHALEEN SHANBHAG AS CHIEF-OF-STAFF IN THE OFFICE OF THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS VICE PRESIDENT, WITH A STIPEND OF \$1,500, PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 18-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointee for consideration was Delenie Wong and Neha Jandu as Co-Directors of the Academic Opportunity Fund. Ms. Wong introduced herself and said she was a third-year, a Spanish major. She's already been involved in the ASUC Grants Department for two years. For the first year and a half she was Director of the Intellectual Community Fund, so she had some experience working with Senators and doing grants allocations, as well as interviewing applicants and making sure they deserved the grants they were allocated. She was also familiar with the whole reimbursement process and helped out last year's Deputy. For that year, Ms. Wong said she hoped to start off with a good workshop and reach out to a lot of different students, to make sure students got the opportunity to hear about the Grants Department and know what opportunities were available.

Ms. Jandu introduced herself and said this would be her second year with the ASUC. Last year she was intern for University Grants, which constituted the Multicultural Fund, the Community Building Fund, and the Leadership Development Fund. Along with the duties of an intern, she did office work and interviewed applicants. She also worked on the committee that created the Public Services Fund. In doing that, she created language for the By-laws and worked with the Senate and Fi-Comm to pass that; and that Fund was now up and running. She hoped her experience as an intern and her background with the Senate will help her fulfill her goals as Director.

Ms. Flores asked about the status of the Public Service Fund and asked how much money was available. Ms. Wong said they weren't sure how much was in it. They're still looking forward a director. Mr. De la Cruz said the application was in progress. The fund has \$0. To give Senators a heads-up, the office will apply to transfer money into that fund.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE DELENIE WONG AND NEHA JANDU AS CO-DIRECTORS FOR THE ACADEMIC OPPORTUNITY FUND PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 17-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointees up for consideration were Nancy Liu and Stephanie Nakada as Co-Directors of the Intellectual Community Fund.

Ms. Nakada introduced herself and said she was a sophomore in MCB. She was there to be appointed as Director of the Intellectual Community Fund. Ms. Lieu was her Co-Director. Ms. Liu said she was a third-year MCB major, and was also there to be appointed as a Co-Director.

Ms. Liu said that last year she was an intern for the Academic Services and Programs Department. They hosted an undergraduate/graduate mentorship program, a DE-Cal, and now she was in the Grants Department to improve that Department. In reflecting on her experience from last year, she felt the Grants Department was most suited to her interests and finances.

Ms. Liu said she was also an intern last year and the year before that, in the Grants Department, with the ICF. She was up for Director that year, so she had a lot of experience with this fund. She hoped to make it more efficient and more available to the students.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE NANCY LIU AND STEPHANIE NAKADA AS CO-DIRECTORS OF THE INTELLECTUAL COMMUNITY FUND PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 17-0-0. (APPLAUSE) Ms. Pasco said she would like to congratulate them.

Ms. Pasco said the next appointment up for consideration was Becky Ma, Director of the Educational Enhancement Fund. Ms. Ma introduced herself and said she was a second-year intended Business Administration major. The Educational Enhancement Fund provides monetary support for DE-Cal courses or student-initiated courses. It helps education by fostering the growth of courses as well as addressing the academic needs of the student body. She felt she was qualified for the position because she was an intern last year for the EEF Director so she had a lot of experience with this particular Fund. That year she was also the Financial Coordinator for the Chinese Dance Performance Team on campus. So she was really familiar with the financial aspect of clubs. Her main goal for that year was to promote grants, especially the EEF, by perhaps holding more workshops, so people know the availability of this Fund and what grants could do for them. She called for any questions.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE BECKY MA AS THE DIRECTOR OF THE EDUCATIONAL ENHANCEMENT FUND PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 17-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointee up for consideration was Angela Wang, Director of the Public Service Fund. Ms. Wang introduced herself and said she was a sophomore Business/Economics major. The Public Service grant was a new grant that was just created last year. It provides monetary assistance to students who were interested in promoting participation in community service and volunteer work. The purpose of the program was to foster community awareness and encourage students to partake in philanthropic or humanitarian-based projects in countries abroad. She thought she was qualified for the position because she was involved in the ASUC last year, in the Campus Diversity Committee. She transferred to the Grants Department that year because her personal interests were more in finance. She had a strong background in community service, having done over 200 hours in high school. She was the President of the Key Club and volunteered at Cedar Sinai Hospital and at shelters.

Ms. Hussain asked if the grant was only for projects that were taken abroad and not for people within the country. Ms. Wang said it could be within the country, but was preferably for projects abroad.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE ANGELA WANG AS THE DIRECTOR OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE FUND PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 16-0-0. (Applause)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointee to consider was for Grace McCullough. Ms. Winston said Ms. McCullough would be there later.

Mr. Sakaue moved to go to Executive Officer reports. The motion was seconded by Ms. Tran and passed with no objection.

Report from the President

Ms. Winston said she wanted to congratulate all the appointees who were approved. She would like to make an appointment of Mahasan Cheney for Chair of the Ethnic Studies Fifth Account Committee, with a stipend of \$600. Her application and résumé will be sent out.

Ms. Winston said she met with the Ethnic Studies Fifth Account Committee that day and with the advisors from the different departments who comprise the Committee. They asked her to relay a message to the Senate and Senators who will be on the Committee that coming year. The ESFA Committee really hoped that people who are selected understand the importance of the Committee and its history and impact on programming on campus, and the environment students can create while they're here.

The Ethnic Studies Fifth Account Committee meets on Wednesdays, from 9:00 to 10:30 a.m. Senators wishing to sit on the Committee should consider that schedule. The goal was to have the Fifth Account up and running by October 1. This is a great resource for Fi-Comm to know about and send people to.

Ms. Winston said she met with people from University Relations that day about the gala celebration that Mr. Permaul talked about. The one thing they told her was that nobody should wear a red garment. Apparently, one of the main coordinators, Maya Goehring-Harris, was President of Rally Comm and was really passionate about that.

Ms. Winston said she and the other Execs have been working on organizing the ASUC's internships. They want to see as many that year as possible. That would not only help Senators and Execs to accomplish their goals, but would also bring community to this space. Orientations were held on Monday and Tuesday and week and will be held next week.

Within the Office of the President, they've begun planning Activism Right There, which was an event that took place last year and drew a lot of students. It will be a weeklong series of events discussing social change and social justice through the arts. Ms. Winston said she would encourage those who were interested in connecting with the art community or in arts activism to please speak to them, the Department of the Art Director, Palash Agarwal. They're really looking for input and assistance from everyone.

Ms. Winston said they're still moving forward with focus groups on Lower Sproul redevelopment. She met last night with Mr. Daal, GA President, and they're collaborating on what the groups will look like and how they'd be run. She wanted to make sure they get students and Senators with different perspectives on what the space should look like, so they rebuild something that includes everyone and not just the perspectives of those or Mr. Daal.

Ms. Winston said she sent out an e-mail last week about her office hours. She really wanted to stress that this wasn't just being polite, but to invite people to come to her office hours, because she wanted to get to know them. In the small time they have in the Senate, that wasn't enough for them to get to know each other. Her office hours will be Friday from 12:00 to 2:00.

Ms. Winston said she wanted to end her report with something different. One thing they'll know about her throughout the year, especially with her office hours, is that she spent a lot of time thinking about where they were headed, what it meant to be at Berkeley, to be a student, a woman, anything. Especially with the Senate, she's been thinking a lot about how to communicate and how to best work together. All of them ran on a platform of making the Senate more efficient, and she thought the only thing they could really do was to make sure all of their own, particular actions embody that. She wouldn't go so far as to say they should be the change they want to see in the world, but she thought they had the potential to make the Senate efficient and make the most out of this experience, and work together. She would encourage them to do that.

As a small anecdote, Ms. Winston said she also was a Senator, last year, and came from the perspective of every meeting being a battleground. But it actually wasn't. After being a Senator, she got to know Albert Wu a little better, another past Senator, who was actually really interesting. But she had never taken the time to figure that out while they were working together in the Senate. And now Mr. Wu is across the country. It just goes to show that even though they might have different opinions on certain things, there were a lot of things they did have in common; and one of those was their commitment to serving students. So she would encourage them to communicate with each other, learn who people are, and what this space could do for students, and how they could develop within this space.

Ms. Cho asked what areas they could improve upon as a Senate. Ms. Winston said she thought people could communicate better. She's noticed a few things in the few times she's come to observe. She thought sometimes what happens is that people break off into separate areas and start discussing things, and don't take the time to really approach people they might have a specific issue with. Or, if they have a question for someone, they shouldn't necessarily beat around the bush, but just go up to that person and ask. And they should make sure they don't put labels on each other within this space, because they're all ASUC Senators. They were all elected to serve the student body. She was saying this honestly, that they were all gems in her eyes. They had the potential to do great things, as long as they work together.

Report from the Executive Vice President

With Ms. Vir-Banks chairing the meeting, Ms. Pasco said she wanted to thank people for participating in the Special Order earlier. She felt it was really good for herself to just relax; and she kind of had an out-of-body experience. She hoped people enjoyed it and wanted to thank Mr. Tran for scheduling it.

The EVP's office had its first staff meeting, which was beautiful. It was good to see everyone finally together. They got a lot of work done, checking in with each other. They've hired a new position, a Student Space Director, who will be in charge of finalizing space allocations and making sure student organizations that need space apply and that the office tries to accommodate them. Ms. Pasco said she was really excited about that. The Director is a first-year and Ms. Pasco said she worked with her all during the summer, and hoped to develop her as a leader for ASUC spaces.

Ms. Pasco said the Co-Directors of the Student Leadership Conference are starting to create a backwards plan. So work was starting to move forward with what she wanted to see happen that year. More information will be coming soon.

Regarding the ASUC internship program, for spring 2009, they hope to launch a new pilot program to create more of a system of accountability to make sure interns were doing the work and were accountable. The Co-Directors in her office were currently meeting with different people such as Mr. Poullard and others from CLL, since this would be a collaborative program. It was really exciting to see collaborations happening and to see this conversation starting.

Regarding Senate interns, applications, which she'd be in charge of, were due Wednesday, September 17. There's a box on the 2nd floor for applications and they're available online at asuc.org. She would ask Senators to please tell their friends and others they know to intern for the ASUC. They hope to get a lot of applications that year and fill their offices with amazing people who want to pursue leadership development through the ASUC. As Ms. Winston mentioned, they had an orientation early that week and they'll have another one on Monday, from 6:00 to 7:00, in the Senate Chamber.

Regarding space allocation, Ms. Pasco said her draft decision was e-mailed and posted. If any student group wanted to appeal her decision, they should submit an appeal application as well as the original application. They could submit that online or on the 2nd floor of Eshleman. She wanted to thank Eric for putting that together. Appeal applications were due on Friday.

As for the Web site, it's been updated. If people have questions, concerns, suggestions, or issues with their pictures, she would ask them to please let her know. She appreciated those who have come up to her with concerns, such as with their pictures; and she wouldn't mention names.

Ms. Pasco said that if Senators haven't submitted their office hours applications, she would ask them to please do so, and they'll put that information on the Web site. Quite a few Senators have not done that as yet.

Ms. Pasco said she'll do 10-to-15 minute check-ins with all Senators, hopefully in the next two weeks. She thought it was really important to do this and see how Senators were doing. Every night she sees a lot of tired faces. She's talked to a few of them about their academic retention, and there were a lot of concerns she had. This was coming from other spaces she's been a part of on this campus and the ways she's been developed as a leader. She was a peer advisor for three years and it was important to her that they all were retaining themselves and making sure they had time for themselves to do whatever they wanted, on top of all the work they're doing in the Senate. She'll send them an e-mail about check-ins on times she's available to meet.

She really was concerned about all of them because some folks she's talked to, like the Chairs and Vice Chairs of all the Standing Committees, a lot of folks next expressed that they have not opened a textbook, haven't gone to class, weren't sleeping, and weren't eating well. That really concerned her. She didn't want this year to be the year that they have an outstanding amount of Senators on academic probation. She just wanted everyone to be aware of the policies and procedures with being on academic probation. There are two forms of academic probation on the campus. There's term probation, for one semester, when a student's GPA falls below 1.5. For Engineering majors, there was no such thing as probation. The second form was cumulative probation, which happens when one's cumulative GPA drops below 2.0. A student can be on academic probation if their GPA was 3.5, or 4.0, and could still be subject to dismissal from the University. So she would ask them to please retain themselves and open their textbooks. They paid for them, and for their classes.

Ms. Pasco asked people to please utilize their resources, go to class, and eat and sleep. She couldn't stress that enough. If people are on academic probation, she would ask them to please let her know. She's had a lot of friends there who have been dismissed because they tried to get out of academic probation by themselves. A lot of times, it's just the way someone studies, and it wasn't necessarily about studying harder, or more. It was almost about re-evaluating their study habits. There were a lot of resources for people on academic probation, such as the Student Learning Center and DE-Cals people could take. There were a lot of folks people could talk to. She would personally recommend talking to the counselors and peer advisors from Student Life Advising and the Educational Opportunity Program. She was a peer advisor for three years and they're amazing folks who could tell students a lot more than any L&S advisor or college major advisor. They tell students about life, relationships, and anything students were going through at this University.

Lastly, for a personal check-in, Ms. Pasco said she finally finalized her classes, which she was really excited about. She was also starting to train for a half-marathon, which was exciting. Hopefully, training will start on Thursday. She called for any questions.

Ms. Dhar asked about space for organizations that didn't receive space or didn't apply last year and now need space. She asked if they should contact her or the Student Space Director. Ms. Pasco said the

application states that they should contact her. There's a running list of orgs that, for whatever reason, forgot to apply for space. So there's currently a wait list for the wait list for space. Things weren't looking great. It was an indicator that they really need to get more space in general. There were so many organizations that need office space, storage space, and they're currently working with a very limited amount of resources.

Ms. Dhar asked if that situation also applied to the cages. Ms. Pasco said it did. Ms. Vir-Banks said she would like to thank Ms. Pasco for her report. (Applause)

Report from the External Affairs Vice President

Ms. Jirachaikitti said the Senate heard from the UCSA Field Organizer that evening, and people should feel free to ask her any other questions they might have about the UCSA. Or they could contact Ms. Byon, or visit the UCSA at its office in Oakland. The Senate also heard about Project Michelle. Ms. Jirachaikitti said that Michelle was a girlfriend of hers, and Ms. Pasco said she's known Michelle since she was little. Michelle was the person who told her about Cal and encouraged her to come there. Ms. Jirachaikitti said she would encourage Senators to support Michelle's cause and to tell their constituents. This was a matter of life or death. Michelle used to be on campus just like them, and people never know what will happen in the future.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said that to answer Mr. Moghtader's question from last week, she found out what she was allergic to, and it's apples.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she had office hours on Tuesdays from 2:00 to 3:30, and Wednesdays, 3:00 to 5 p.m. People could stop by 220 Eshleman or send her an e-mail.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she's going to UC Santa Barbara for the UCSA Executive Retreat and Board meeting. If people were interested about the Board, they should send her an e-mail. If people need anything from the office while she was gone, they should contact Stephanie Puentes, the Chief-of-Staff. On the agenda for the Board meeting was campaign planning for the whole year, vote work, and planning for the Students of Color Conference in November.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said some of the Executives have been talking about a large debate. They'll invite reps from the Obama and McCain campaigns, and maybe the Ron Paul campaign. She talked to some Senators have having a student portion of that debate. They'll work with operations to get a venue. They've also been talking about a viewing party on November 4, and Peter Quintin, from the Student Union, expressed an interest.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she was contacted by somebody from the Alameda County Registrar's Office to coordinate the turn-in of voter registration cards in a timely manner. She was told that the voter registration office has taken an AC Transit bus and dressed it up as a "hip-hop voter reg bus" that will drive around to places to collect cards and encourage people to vote. The dates for that will be determined.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she would like to appoint Meghan Ballard as Lobby Corps Director and Chol Ahn as CAL Housing Director.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she would like to yield her time to a couple of people in the office who have been interns since last year and were now Directors in the office.

Ricardo Gomez, the State Legislative Liaison, introduced himself. He wanted to recap that things the Vote Coalition and the EAVP's office have been doing regarding voter registration. Since school started they have registered 1,400 students at building meetings and Caltopia. They registered an additional 200 at Calpalooza. That last week they've been tabling and doing vote raps to reach out to different communities. Their current count was 1,794 students registered. Their goal was 10,000, so they still had a long way to go. They have plans to ratchet up their efforts. They'll be in the Dining Commons starting on Thursday. They'll do more vote raps and will start doing class raps. He would encourage Senators to be proactive and approach the Vote Coalition and the EAVP with their different communities, and let the office know opportunities to register more students. It was really important that students register to show they care about issues, State, local, and national. Ms. Kao Thao introduced herself and said she was the Voter Registration Coordinator. On Thursday at 5:00 they'll have a Vote Coalition meeting in the Senate lounge.

Ms. Jirachaikitti said she would like to yield time to Meghan Ballard, Lobby Corps Director. Ms. Ballard said she's a second-year there. The goal of the Lobby Corps was to have one visit to Sacramento every month and then hopefully do in-district lobbying. They lobby mainly on student issues and they work with the UCSA. That year she wanted to be more inclusive of different campus communities. She wanted to create a forum with all of the different communities and groups on campus so she could hear student voices, and so they wouldn't just do student issues abroad or student issues on a whole, but would deal with what the Cal community wanted. The Cal Lobby Corps was there for everybody on campus and they wanted to make sure that all Cal students were represented, that their voices were heard, and that the politicians know what they needed as Cal students. The Cal Lobby Corps will have a second information meeting on Thursday from 6:30 to 7:30 in 187 Dwinelle. People could attend and see what the Lobby Corps does to outreach to their communities and to politicians. They'll start having regular meetings next Tuesday, from 7:00 to 8:00, in 540 Barrows. She would encourage all Senators to attend, and not just Senators on the Lobby Corps Commission.

Ms. Ballard said they're going to host a spring DE-Cal. She just talked to the professor who will hopefully be the faculty sponsor. Ms. Ballard said she was working up a syllabus and was open to ideas. If people have read anything in classes or if they knew speakers who would be good for a lobbying DE-Cal, they should let her know. If they're a part of clubs that want to get their voice heard, or if they have issues or contentions that they want politicians to know about, she would ask them to please let her know, as they were very open to ideas. She called for any questions.

Ms. Raffi asked if she knew what numbers they had for voter registration from four years ago at this point in the campaign. Ms. Jirachaikitti said that 2004 was what they based their goals on. The goal then was 9,000, and they registered 6-7,000 people. She didn't know what the number was at this point in the year.

Ms. Dhar said that if people were interested in doing debates, the Berkeley Political Review, which she was a part of, was also trying to get the Berkeley College Republicans and the Cal Dems to debate.

Report from the Academic Affairs Vice President

Mr. De la Cruz said he would like to thank the Senate for appointing Grants Directors. They do a great job in improving efficiency and making allocations, and having them for all student groups. The office was doing a lot of new and exciting things with grants that year, including revamping some of the application process. They'll ensure that the online section of the Web site was continuously updated with applications that have already been reviewed. This was something that they did with the Student Opportunity Fund. It helps with transparency and helps students know what good and bad applications look like. It helps students from having to ask questions about how to apply and lets them know if their events and programs qualify for grants. Mr. De la Cruz said they've been talking about this with the Web master and they're moving forward with this.

Regarding policy, Mr. De la Cruz said that many student representatives on Academic Senate and University administrative committees met for the first time that week. Academic Senate Committees range from the Committee on Teaching to the Committee on Space Allocations. The office will also have training for those reps on Sunday. It will be in the Senate Chamber, and the reps will hear from a lot of speakers, including the Chair of the Academic Senate, Mary Firestone, the Executive Officers, and other speakers. This will help committee reps get acquainted with the huge bureaucracy of the ASUC and the University at-large, and help them to represent students throughout the year. He'll ask a few reps from those committees to come in and speak to the Senate for an information exchange.

In terms of programs and services, at the Bookswap they sold 132 books; and 227 books were unsold. That meant they sold 37% of their books. That's a higher percentage than last year. The discrepancy of \$20 went down to \$15.25 because someone overpaid by \$5. They want to thank that student for their donation to the ASUC.

Mr. De la Cruz said that Bears Breaking Boundaries was a competition for students to submit ideas for research or a project and enter the competition for monetary rewards. The awards ceremony will be in the spring. That year they're having a ceremony to honor and recognize those who won the award last spring. Bears Breaking Boundaries is in its third year and is a collaboration between the ASUC and Big Ideas at Cal, which provides considerable funding for this project. The ASUC supports two categories, Student Life and Innovation. The award ceremony for last year's winners will occur on October 16 in the Lipman Room. He'll forward the invitation out, and they could see what great ideas and great projects students came up with and used the award money for.

Regarding grants, Mr. De la Cruz said he was submitting a bill for the Public Service Fund because it has zero dollars.

Mr. De la Cruz said that because the Senate approved all but one Grant Director, they are now officially able to handle all the grants. But they need money to be allocated in order to give that money to students. Currently Grants has about one-third the amount it had last year. The Educational Enhancement Fund has \$3,000; the Intellectual Community Fund has \$9,000; the Academic Opportunity Fund, \$23,000; the Multicultural Fund, \$850; and Public Service Fund, \$0. People could approach him to discuss the amount for grants so they can expedite the process of getting money into the hands of the Grants Department, and get money into the hands of students. Several applications have come in, and the sooner they know how much was in the pot for the entire year the sooner they can award the correct amounts and give students an amount they deserve.

Mr. De la Cruz said he would like to appoint his last Grant Director, Justin Hsu, for the Multicultural Fund. Mr. Hsu was a Grant Director last year in the Office. Mr. De la Cruz called for any questions.

Mr. Mairena asked who the Board was for the Berkeley Student Foundation. Mr. De la Cruz said it's the EVP, the President, and the Academic Affairs VP. Ms. Pasco Senate speaking time had expired. A motion to extend by five minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Ude and passed with no objection. Mr. Mairena asked who the Chair was of the Berkeley Student Foundation Board. Mr. De la Cruz said he would get that information.

Ms. Cho asked where the money would come from for the Public Service Fund. Mr. De la Cruz said money would come from the Contingency Fund or from carry forward, which was where all the money for Grants came from. The Public Service Fund was created last year and some amount should have been considered in spring budgeting. By an oversight of the Senate or a miscalculation, no money was allocated for that Fund. At the recommendation of the Finance Officer, Mr. Chaney, Mr. De la Cruz said he was submitting a bill for the funds, to come from carry forward.

Ms. Dhar asked when organizations can start applying for grants. Mr. De la Cruz said grants opened up on Monday. Applications were available on the 2nd floor. They encourage all groups to apply one month before the event or the time they need the money. Since grants just opened, they understand there could be some special circumstances and retroactive funding could be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Ms. Chen said that based on what he said about carry forward, taking money from carry forward to put into the Public Service Fund, she asked when student groups could start applying for that. Mr. De la Cruz said they hope to have applications out next week. The application process took two weeks for an interview and two weeks for review. Groups have two weeks after the event to turn in reimbursements. Ms. Pasco said that seeing no further questions, she would like to thank him for his report. (Applause)

Report from the Student Advocate Office

Matt DeMartini introduced himself and said he was the ASUC Student Advocate. He would apologize for not being there for the last two weeks to give a report. He wanted to go through what the Student Advocate Office did. It's different from other ASUC offices and was the fifth Executive Office.

Mr. DeMartini said the SAO provides a free and confidential service for all students at the University who have any type of problem with the University, from Conduct violations, academic issues, grade appeals, financial aid problems, residency issues, discrimination, harassment, etc. The SAO has four Divisions: Conduct, Academic, Financial aid/Residency, and Grievance, which handles everything else.

Each Division had a Director that oversees five to ten caseworkers who handle cases with students on an individual basis. A caseworker from the office will work with a student one-on-one to help them through the issues and explain the different processes to solve their issues, and guide them the process to have a good outcome and give the students what they want, and resolve the issue as best as possible.

Mr. DeMartini said that what he did as SAO, and what his Chief-of-Staff did, was to make sure the office ran smoothly, that their caseworkers were on top of things, and that cases were coming in. They also handle the outreach part of the office. They're coming up with a plan at that time to publicize the office. They plan to go directly to student groups that year, which was something the office hasn't done much in the past, to meet students on a grassroots, individual level, to explain what the SAO does, why it exists, and how they were there for students. They're a great service that a lot of students didn't know about that they wish they knew about afterwards, when they find out they could have gotten help when they needed it. He's gone around to student groups as have many caseworkers and directors. They've gone to different student groups to talk about the SAO and explain what they do.

Mr. DeMartini said he also planned to work with Karen Warren, the Associate Dean of Students. He meet with her regularly last semester when he was Chief-of-Staff in the SAO. They'd talk about the Student Code of Conduct and revising it. There are tons of different parts of the Code of Student Conduct that are unclear and vague and which didn't benefit students. Mr. DeMartini said he and Ms. Warren will go through it that semester and cut out parts that don't make sense and revise other parts to make them less vague and more accurate, and to benefit students, like they should.

Aside from that, Mr. DeMartini said that as for proper business in the office, some cool things were happening. They just started to recruit. They had an info session on Monday night to get the word out about applications. There will be another one on Thursday at 6:30 in the Senate lounge. If Senators know of anyone who would be interested in being a caseworker for the SAO, he would ask them to please tell them. People didn't have to be interested in law, and the office helped students with all sorts of issues that all sorts of people would be interested in working on. So he would ask them to please spread the word about that. Applications were online at advocate.berkeley.edu. Applications were due next Monday, the 15th, by 5 p.m.

On a personal note, Mr. DeMartini so he would ask them to please attend the Campus MovieFest. It wasn't because his film with be showing. The only reason he knew it would be one of the films to be shown was because he was also involved with ASUC SUPERB and was the Films Manager for SUPERB. So while he organized the Campus MovieFest, there was no conflict of interest as he didn't judge his film. And, in fact, he wasn't sure his film would be shown. The word on the street was that it would be. He would ask them to please go, regardless of whether or not his movie is show. This was the world's largest student film festival. People are given a camera and a laptop for a week and students can make films for free, and show them. SUPERB was putting this on in Wheeler. The show starts at 7:30 on Friday. It costs \$3 for students, \$5 for others.

Mr. DeMartini said he would like to appoint his Chief-of-Staff and the different Division Directors, but he wasn't sure about their stipends at the moment. He called for any questions.

Ms. Chen asked if he was aware that everything went into the minutes and online. Mr. DeMartini said the only reason he brought up the Campus MovieFest was because Mr. Yeh mentioned it.

Mr. Smelko asked about the best way to contact the office for cases. Mr. DeMartini said the office was open Monday through Friday, 10:00 to 5:00, in 204 Eshleman. Caseworkers were always there. People mostly just come into the office. They could also e-mail "help" at studentadvocate.com or give them a call.

Mr. DeMartini said they got a lot cases that summer by e-mail, and already in the first few weeks of school the SAO has taken about 20 to 25 cases, a lot for the first few weeks. They take in about 200 a semester.

Ms. Flores asked if he's thought of any proactive outreach to spread the word about the SAO. Mr. DeMartini said he's going to student groups, and during finals, they pass out snacks to people studying in Moffitt. They pass out other free stuff to get people's attention. That also make classroom announcements and flier. They have publicity in the office and were developing a marketing strategy. If Senators had any suggestions, he would ask them to please let them know.

Ms. Moon moved to go to Appointments. The motion was seconded by Ms. Tran and passed with no objection.

APPOINTMENTS (cont'd)

Ms. Pasco said the next appointment up for consideration was Grace McCullough, for Chief-of-Staff in the Office of the President, with a stipend of \$1,500.

Ms. McCullough introduced herself and said that for her first year she was in Clark Kerr, in the Hall Association. Her second year she was a Resident Assistant in Unit 1. She learned a lot there about community and what it was to foster community and support people and staff, working with people dedicated to an inclusive community and the well being of students. Last year she was also a Co-Director of Student Services in the OP. The year before that she was an intern for External Affairs. And now she was Chief-of-Staff in the OP.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE GRACE MCCULLOUGH AS THE CHIEF-OF-STAFF IN THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, WITH A STIPEND OF \$1,500, PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY VOICE-VOTE. Ms. Pasco said she would like to congratulate her. (Applause)

Ms. Hussain moved to go to Elected Official Announcements. The motion was seconded by Ms. Rodriguez and passed with no objection.

Elected Official Announcements (cont'd)

Ms. Hussain said she had fliers to distribute for the Fast-A-Thon and student pledge forms. If people sign them, it was like an honor code, since people couldn't be followed from sunrise to sunset. She would ask them to please fill it out if they would like to fast next Wednesday, the 17th. For every person who fasts, a certain amount of money will be donated to Doctors Without Borders by sponsors of the

Fast-A-Thon. The Senate will have a Special Order that day so food will be delivered to the Senate Chamber, and all Senators were welcome to take some, and they'll break the fast together. She'll try and get a speaker to talk about importance of fasting for Muslims. They'll also hold a breakfast in the morning, before sunrise, at 5 a.m. in the Senate Chamber. She would encourage Senators to come. It's been a tradition for the past several years for Senators to take part in this, to know how the community beyond campus leads their daily lives.

Ms. Oatfield asked if she could explain the reasons for fasting. Ms. Hussain said Ramadan, the month of Muslim fasting, is when their holy book, the Koran, was presented. People fast for different reasons, whether to feel what the hungry and poor feel, for self-control, because if one goes 30 days, for 17 hours a day without eating or drinking, they could pretty much do anything. It was very personal for different people. Ms. Shah said fasting was also one of the five Pillars of Islam.

Ms. Oatfield said The Local produce stand that day restarted for the school year. Senators were asked to please encourage their communities to shop there. If more people shopped there, it could possibly be open twice a week. A lot of food people buy at the supermarket comes from the other side of the world. In California it was unnecessary to buy produce grown from far away. The Produce only buys from small family or cooperative farms and supports really responsible farmers. The food was organic or pesticide free. It was operated by all volunteers and because of that, it was cheaper than a farmer's market.

Ms. Flores said she wanted to invite people to the first general meeting of the Filipino-American Alliance on Thursday, at 7 p.m., in 210 Wheeler. People could learn more about the history, political and social, and how the PAA came about at Berkeley.

Ms. Raffi asked if people heard about the Pink Ribbon slam poetry event for breast cancer. It was coming that fall. She'll introduce a bill to get funding for the event.

Ms. Chen she hoped Senators relay the message about Project Michelle. She first learned of it a few months ago, and in that time, over 2,000 people have the registered. It was about saving someone's life.

Ms. Ude asked how she got involved in Project Michelle. Ms. Chen said it might have been an e-mail or someone talking to her. It drew her. People weren't just helping out Michelle, but helping everyone in her situation.

Ms. Rodriguez said the Hispanic Scholarship, Berkeley Chapter, will have first general body meeting of the Fall Semester on Thursday from 7:00 to 8:30. It will be devoted to career preparation and grad school.

Mr. Mairena said that September 15-16 are the Independence Days of all Latin American countries. Mexico's is on the 15th and a lot of other countries had it on the 16th. They have *fiestas patrias*, patriotic

celebrations. Every year they have a weeklong series of events in which the Latin American community on campus participates. It will start on Friday and go until Monday. On Monday they'll have the *grito*, the "cry" of independence. In Casa Joaquin Murrieta, on Piedmont, they invite Senators to attend. Before that there will be a spoken word talent show in the MCC from 7:00 to 9:00, and from 9:00 to 10:00 a march with mariachi music, to Casa. This wasn't just Mexico's independence, but that of various other countries, which celebrate their independence from July to September.

Ms. Shah read the following:

Begin Announcement by Ms. Shah

Israeli historian Ilan Pappé refers to the uprooting of the indigenous Palestinian population's olive trees as "memoricide." As the Israeli government displaces Palestinian people, destroys their homes and villages, and uproots their olive tree groves, it expects us to forget. But we will never forget Palestine.

Similarly, we are currently living on stolen Ohlone land, and this past weekend, the oak grove was uprooted. What the oak grove represented was not only an environmental issue, but also a political one. The United States government has yet to apologize for the genocide of 96 million indigenous peoples of the Americas who died from starvation, disease, forced removal, false treaties, reservation systems, and murder.

I am here to ask that we as leaders of this campus acknowledge that the oak grove's destruction means that we are allowing the University and our student government to participate in memoricide. We are all guilty of turning our backs on the Ohlone people, as well as the other indigenous communities of this land, when we allow the university to bulldoze the oak grove and in its place build a sports facility.

Thank you.

End Announcement by Ms. Shah

Ms. Shah said she would not yield her time to questions.

Mr. Moghtader asked how Ms. Shah's announcement was germane to the Senate meeting. Ms. Pasco said it was her Elected Official Announcement.

Mr. Moghtader said that although he respected Sen. Shah's analysis, if they could call it that, of the oak grove situation, what Ms. Shah said at the beginning about genocide and uprooting of Palestinians from their land was factually inaccurate. He wanted to clear that up for the Senate, so people didn't leave there thinking that was true. It was one of the most factually interact portrayals of history he's ever heard.

Ms. Shah said that if people wanted to research this, the Israeli historian she referred to, Ilan Pappé, has done extensive work. And people could look up many other Israeli revisionist historians who have worked very hard to accurately portray Israeli-Palestinian history.

On a point of information, Mr. Moghtader said he actually agreed with Ms. Shah's statement that people should look into this.

Mr. Mairena said he did a lot of thinking that past week, and a lot of what he wanted to say came from a conversation he had with Ms. Raffi. They talked about a lot of controversial issues and about increasing dialogue within the Senate. They all have the freedom of speech. He respected Sens. Shah and Moghtader for expressing their beliefs, but he also believed that issues like these were the beginning of dialogue. He was treating his experience as a Senator as a learning experience, for him to learn and to teach others. Hopefully, people will take that into consideration in everything they do in the Senate. They're all learning and teaching, and they're all leaders. One thing he was really critical of the Senate was the use of debate without also encouraging dialogue. He might think of ways to work on that, such as a forum, perhaps, for Senators to discuss things, and to then use the Senate floor to take care of the business they need to do, and have their discussions at another forum. Discussions on some issues a lot of times prevent could them from doing the work they want to do at Senate meetings.

Committee Reports

Reporting for the Finance Committee, Mr. Moghtader said Fi-Comm met Monday night, September 8, at 9 p.m. in the Senate Chamber. All members were present at roll call at 9:20. They approved the minutes from their first meeting, held September 1. They approved their agenda for the September 8 meeting. EVP Pasco's appointment of Mr. Salahi as Web master was tabled. They approved \$1,500 stipends for Ms. Pasco's Chief-of-Staff, Adi Afek; for Ms. Winston's Chief-of-Staff, Grace McCullough; for the AAVP's chief-of-staff, Shaleen Shanbhag, and for EAVP Jirachaikitti's Chief-of-Staff, Stephanie Puentes.

For fee waivers, Fi-Comm approved the Indus fee waiver for Pauley Ballroom on 9/28/08 was approved. The waiver for the AAVP's Bio Majors Fair for Pauley Ballroom was approved. Alpha Phi Alpha's Pauley Ballroom fee waiver was approved. For rule waivers, the AAVP's food waiver, \$221.41 was approved; the Student Advocate Office waiver for food was approved for \$159.50; the Chinese People Union food waiver was approved for \$150; the DE-Cal food waiver was approved for \$500; and the President's staff retreat food rule waiver was approved for \$251.46.

For bills, Mr. Moghtader said SB 3 was passed as amended, with an amendment to the final clause, to \$650. SB 4 passed as amended, to include as sponsors Ms. Shah and Mr. Mairena, and to strike out the food line item in the budget. SB 6 was tabled. SB 7 was approved. SB 8 was passed as amended, to strike out the final Resolved Clause and to change it to \$1,000, in the third Resolved Clause.

Mr. Moghtader said they had re-nominations for Vice Chair, and Ms. Dhar and Mr. Sakaue were nominated. By votes of 4-0-3 to 3-0-4, Sen. Dhar was elected as Vice Chair. They adjourned at 12:06 a.m.

Ms. Pasco said the Chair would entertain a motion for a five-minute recess. It was so moved and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Ude and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed. Back in session, a motion to recess for three minutes was made and seconded and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed. Back in session, a motion to extend the recess by five minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Shah and Ms. Rodriguez and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed. Back in session, a motion to extend the recess by five minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Mairena and Ms. Flores and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed.

Back in session, reporting for the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee, Mr. Nahabet said Con-Review met. The meeting started at 9:09 p.m., with roll call at 9:10. Present were Sens. Mairena, Shah, T. Tran, Oatfield, Smelko, and Owens.

SB 5 was amended, to include the unedited version of Title XV, Article II, Section 2.2, and Title VI, Article II, Section 2.2 of the ASUC By-laws. The motion was approved. There was another motion to add to as sponsors Ms. Shah, Mr. Mairena, and Mr. Nahabet. The amendments and the bill were approved.

The meeting adjourned 9:35.

Begin written report from the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee

Con Review Report

Meeting Starts 9:09 pm

Roll Call at 9:10 pm

Present were the below Senators:

Senator Mairena, Senator Shah, Senator Tu, Senator Oatfield, Senator Smelko, Senator Owens.

Senator Mairena motions to amend SB 5 to include the current, unedited version of Title 15, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 and Title 19, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 of the ASUC By-Laws

Motion Approved.

Motion to add Senator Shah, Mairena, and Nahabet to the Sponsors list.

Motion Approved.

SB5a approved.

9:35 meeting adjourned.

End written report from the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee

Reporting for the University and External Affairs Committee, Ms. Hussain said they met on Monday. The meeting was called to order at 9:06 p.m. All members were present. They had no bills to consider, so they did check-ins. After that Ms. Raffi brought up an issue she wanted to discuss as a committee, and asked about the Stadium. Ms. Oatfield had approached many of them to talk about student safety at the Stadium. Ms. Raffi said she e-mailed the discussion out to the Committee. If anybody else would like it, she could send it to them. Ms. Tran disagreed with building on a fault line. Ms. Raffi wanted to look at the larger picture. EAVP Jirachaikitti was concerned lobby wouldn't work. Mr. Zuo wanted to hear from the University's side. As a result, a meeting is scheduled with Vice Chancellor Brostrom for Friday, September 19, at 2:30. She believed Mr. Zuo scheduled that, and she believed all Senators were welcomed to attend. Also, if anybody was interested in working on the MCC, they should send Ms. Raffi an e-mail.

The meeting adjourned 9:28, and all members were present.

Begin written report from the University and External Affairs Committee

External Meeting 9/8/2008

Meeting was called to order at 9:06 pm. All committee members were present: Senators Hussain, Raffi, L. Tran, Ude, Yang, and Zuo.

Agenda

- 1) Check-ins
- 2) No bills to consider
- 3) Other issues:

Senator Raffi: Stadium Issues--what do people think?

Stadium Discussion:

Senator Lisa Tran stated that she disagreed with building on a fault line. Senator Raffi stated that she wishes to consider the larger picture, alternative to Memorial Stadium. EAVP Jirachaikitti stated that she is concerned that lobbying will not work, and if so, then the University would have the student athlete facility without a new, retrofitted stadium. Senator Zuo stated that he wishes to hear more from the University's side. As a result, Senator Zuo has scheduled a meeting with Vice Chancellor Brostrom for Friday, 9/19 at 2:30 pm.

Senator Raffi wanted to also know who has been working on and who is invested in the Multicultural Center (MCC). Senator Ude replied that Andrew Chang, Senator Ude, Senator Mairena, Senator Shah, and Senator Flores are all invested in the MCC. Senator Raffi stated that she wanted to work with these individuals and just wanted to know who was involved.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:28 pm. All committee members were present: Senators Hussain, Raffi, Tran, Ude, Yang, and Zuo.

End written report from the University and External Affairs Committee

Ms. Pasco said the next reports were from Issue Committees. She would ask them to talk about which Issue Briefs they decided to choose to work on for the Advocacy Agenda.

Reporting for Issue Committee #1, Mr. Mairena said they met on Friday and had a long discussion on two issues. They concluded that the plank they wanted was Sen. Hussain's plank, "Equity and Inclusion through Student Health." Somewhere in there is a priority for spiritual health and having space on campus for mediation. He's already talked to Sen. Hussain since she was the author of both Issue Briefs, and she agreed to that. So their plank is "Equity and Inclusion through Student Health" and "Spiritual Health."

Reporting for Issue Committee #2, Ms. Oatfield said they looked at short-term and long-term academic services, to have their plank include online databasing issues and employment security, dealing with the East Asian Languages Department and layoffs.

Mr. Owens said the Committee met on Monday and decided on a main plank and an auxiliary plank. It was the opinion of the Committee that they had enough to talk about in the long- and short-term overhaul of academic services, and in all likelihood, they'd get something done by the end of the semester.

Reporting for Issue Committee #3, Mr. Nahabet said they're going to combine two Advocacy Issues, environmental sustainability and commercial revenue issues. They're still working on the logistics. Ms. Ude said that some content in Ms. Oatfield's Issue Brief was applicable to Mr. Nahabet's Issue Brief and they're still trying to incorporate both of them. Mr. Nahabet said the commercial revenue advocacy issue was for the Senate to encourage the SOB, in the upcoming year, to take actions to bring in commercial businesses that will provide a guaranteed revenue for the ASUC, so they can ensure the future of this organization and of student government. Especially with the rebuilding of Eshleman and the construction of Lower Sproul, they need the money to be there when the time comes. Ms. Ude said it was also to ensure that the decisions they make were environmentally sustainable for commercial enterprises and with Mr. Nahabet's Issue Brief.

Ms. Pasco said she would ask Issue Committees to submit their new Issue Briefs. She realized briefs were combined, and if they could submit the final Issue Brief by Sunday night, she could put that on the new Advocacy Agenda bill. That would be appreciated.

Ms. Pasco said she'll appoint Advocacy Agenda committees next week. She'll send people an e-mail so they could let her know what issues they wanted to work on. She wanted Senators working on issues they were passionate about.

CONSENT CALENDAR

The following Resolutions were up for consideration that evening under the Consent Calendar: SBs 3, as amended; 4, as amended; 5, as amended; 7; and 8, as amended.

SB 8 was removed from the Consent Calendar.

The following bills remained under the Consent Calendar: SBs 3, as amended; 4, as amended; 5, as amended; and 7.

Ms. Pasco called for any other changes to the Consent Calendar, and seeing none, said the Consent Calendar was approved. THE CONSENT CALENDAR WAS APPROVED WITH NO OBJECTION: SB 3, AS AMENDED; SB 4, AS AMENDED; SB 5, AS AMENDED; AND SB 7.

The following Resolution, SB 3, as amended in committee, was authored by Lisa Xu and Ms. Dhar:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE BERKELEY POLITICAL REVIEW (BPR)

WHEREAS, the Berkeley Political Review is UC Berkeley's only nonpartisan political magazine and is run entirely by students; and

WHEREAS, it is managed, published, and distributed entirely by students and has a staff of approximately 30; and

WHEREAS, the magazine is published four (4) times a year; and

WHEREAS, BPR is an eighth-year student publication (PUB) that requested \$6,607.70 and was allocated \$2,400 in the ASUC spring budget for the 2008-2009 year; and

WHEREAS, it is trying to expand its presence on campus through a better Website, more engaged recruitment, and campus events, such as a debate between the Berkeley College Republicans and the Cal Dems; and

WHEREAS, this is an election year, and the Berkeley Political Review plans to publish longer, more in-depth editions in the fall that are printed in color, as opposed to the otherwise black-and-white magazine, as well as increase the magazine's circulation; and

WHEREAS, BPR's primary source of funding comes from the ASUC, ad revenue, and concession sales, and they plan to run a deficit of approximately \$2,220 to \$2,720 this year. Their primary costs come from printing. The following is the proposed budget for the Berkeley Political Review for the 2008-2009 school year: Total revenue, \$6,400; Total Expenditure, \$8,620 to 9,120;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC Senate allocate \$650 from the Senate Contingency Fund to the Berkeley Political Review.

The following Resolution, SB 4, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Rishi Malhotra and Grace Shen and was co-sponsored by Ms. Dhar, Ms. Shah, and Mr. Mairena:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF STUDENTS FOR SENSIBLE DRUG POLICY

WHEREAS, because the War on Drugs has historically been justified as necessary to protect youth, and it is our responsibility as young people to stop this harmful war from being waged in our names any longer; and

WHEREAS, over three-fourths of Americans believe the War on Drugs is a failure; and

WHEREAS, Students for Sensible Drug Policy (SSDP) will give their members an opportunity to learn and fight back the ill effects of the War on Drugs by moving it away from as a criminal justice issue to a public health issue; and

WHEREAS, SSDP will also oppose the provisions of the Higher Education Act that have denied financial aid to over 200,000 students that were convicted of drug possession; and

WHEREAS, drug possession and underage drinking account for the largest percentage of crime among the UC Berkeley student body; and

WHEREAS, SSDP mobilizes and empowers young individuals to participate in the political process, pushing for sensible policies to get a safer future while fighting against policies that directly harm students; and

WHEREAS, the budget for SSDP is as follows [see agenda packet];

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC recognizes Students for Sensible Drug Policy (SSDP) as a first-year Student Activity Group (SAG).

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the ASUC Senate allocate \$200 (two hundred dollars) to Students for Sensible Drug Policy (SSDP) from the ASUC Contingency Fund. [Food line item deleted, \$25.00.]

The following Resolution, SB 5, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. De la Cruz and Mr. Tran and was co-sponsored by Mr. Nahabet, Ms. Shah, and Mr. Mairena:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF ICF-EEF CONCURRENT NOMINATIONS IN THE BY-LAWS

WHEREAS, the ASUC Senate aids in administering the disbursement of the Intellectual Community Fund (ICF) and the Educational Enhancement Fund (EEF) by appointing two Senators to the two Committees; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF ICF-EEF CONCURRENT NOMINATIONS IN THE BY-LAWS
(cont'd)

WHEREAS, historically, the ASUC Senate has appointed the same Senate members as representatives to the aforementioned committees and has found it to improve effectiveness and efficiency; and

WHEREAS, the Committee for EEF meets only twice a year to consider grants to assist DE-Cal courses which falls under the purview of the Committee for ICF, being that they both facilitate and support campus-related endeavors; and

WHEREAS, Title 15, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 of the ASUC By-Laws currently reads:

Within the first two weeks of the Academic Semester, the Executive Vice President shall solicit the nominations of two Senate members to serve as representatives to the Committee for Intellectual Community, subject to two-thirds approval of the Senate

and;

WHEREAS, Title 19, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 of the ASUC By-Laws currently reads:

Within the first two weeks of the Academic Semester, the Executive Vice President shall solicit the nominations of two Senate members to serve as representatives to the Committee for Educational Enhancement, subject to two-thirds approval of the Senate.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Executive Vice President will concomitantly solicit the nomination of two Senate members to serve on the Committee for Intellectual Community Fund and Committee for Educational Enhancement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Title 15, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 of the ASUC By-Laws shall be amended to read:

Within the first two weeks of the Academic Semester, the Executive Vice President shall solicit the nominations of two Senate members to serve as representatives to both the Committee for Intellectual Community Fund and the Committee for Educational Enhancement, subject to two-thirds approval of the Senate.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Title 19, Article 2, Section 2, Subsection 2 of the ASUC By-Laws shall be amended to read:

Within the first two weeks of the Academic Semester, the Executive Vice President shall solicit the nominations of two Senate members to serve as representatives to both the Committee for Educational Enhancement and the Committee for Intellectual Community Fund, subject to two-thirds approval of the Senate.

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF ICF-EEF CONCURRENT NOMINATIONS IN THE BY-LAWS
(cont'd)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in the event that either grant is considerably modified, either in its allocation, administration, or requirements, this By-law shall be re-assessed for validity.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that while this amendment suggests the Senate members appointed be the same, the ASUC Senate, the office of the Academic Affairs Vice President, and the ASUC still consider each grant independent and fundamentally different.

The following Resolution, SB 7, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by AJ Almaguer, Romina Rodriguez, Ms. Rodriguez and Mr. Tran:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF HISPANIC ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS' (HES) YES DAY

WHEREAS, Hispanic Engineers and Scientists (HES) is a student organization of approximately 70 members dedicated to the advancement of Latinos in science and engineering; and

WHEREAS, HES is an integral part of recruitment and retention efforts at the University of California, Berkeley's College of Engineering, earning high recognition from the College of Engineering's Center for Underrepresented Engineering Students (CUES) and receiving the Society of Hispanic Engineers (SHPE) National Blue-Chip Award (highest recognition); and

WHEREAS, HES is a vital link between its members and industry as it assists a sizeable amount of students annually in regards to post-undergraduate careers; and

WHEREAS, HES serves current undergraduates through retention programs such as Winter Academic Training (WAT) Camp while inspiring grade school students through programs such as Young Engineers and Scientists (YES) Day and Ositos (Little Bear) Tutoring; all of which leads to a \$40,000/year budget; and

WHEREAS, YES Day is an annual event serving over 80 children from local Bay Area elementary/middle schools with a purpose of engaging the students in engineering, encouraging pursuit for college, and educating families of the students; it is to be held on October 11,2008; and

WHEREAS, starting this year YES Day will be a collaborative event involving a bevy of groups from the Latino and Engineering communities at UC Berkeley; it is imperative for the ASUC to support collaborative endeavors among such a large sector of its sponsored groups; and

WHEREAS, the projected budget for YES Day as of August 31,2008 is: \$4,471.20; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF HISPANIC ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS' (HES) YES DAY
(cont'd)

WHEREAS, historically, YES Day has been funded by corporate sponsors; however a series of unexpected events within the corporate sponsors' management renders YES Day at risk of cancellation due to financial constraints;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate HES, a second-year SISG, \$500 (five hundred USD) from the Senate Contingency Fund.

ITEMS FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

The following Resolution, SB 8, as amended in committee, was authored by Denis Lam, Grace Shen, Ms. Yang, and Mr. Tran, and was co-sponsored by Ms. Winston, Ms. Cho, Ms. Dhar, Mr. Nahabet, Ms. Raffi, Ms. Rodriguez, Mr. Smelko, and Mr. Zuo:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CAL HEP B FREE FALL 2008 PILOT-PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the ASUC unanimously passed SB 97 of Fall 2007 to recognize the National Hepatitis B Act and support all student organizations/efforts committed to the promotion of hepatitis B (HBV) awareness, screening, and vaccination; and

WHEREAS, the ASUC, the ASUC Auxiliary, and Team HBV have cooperated in a Fall 2008 semester-long, philanthropic joint venture entitled "Cal Hep B Free" to address the often-overlooked, chronic hepatitis B carriers who are at risk to themselves and to their contacts at the University of California, Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, "Cal Hep B Free" is a student-initiated program with the following objectives:

- 1) B SMART: Dispel misconceptions and create campus awareness about the health risks of HBV and the importance of screening for HBV, especially among the following high-risk ethnic communities at UC Berkeley: Asian and Pacific Islanders and individuals from the Middle East, Eastern Europe, Russia, Sub-Saharan Africa, Amazon Basin, and indigenous regions of the Arctic;
- 2) B TESTED: Promote routine HBV screenings and assist and direct students, faculty, and staff to the Tang Center and other local sites where they may obtain free or low-cost screenings;
- 3) B FREE: Design and implement a unique, coalition-partnership model that involves campus and local Community Partners to maximize long-term impact and sustainability; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CAL HEP B FREE FALL 2008 PILOT-PROGRAM (cont'd)

WHEREAS, Cal Hep B Free Community Partners are defined as an entity or organization committed to uphold the following responsibilities in good faith:

- 1) Endorse Cal Hep B Free's mission and objectives.
- 2) Assist with publicity of Cal Hep B Free's activities and events;
- 3) Urge its members and/or constituents to attend Cal Hep B Free events;
- 4) Urge its members and/or constituents to get screened for HBV; and

WHEREAS, the Steering Group, Advisory Board, and Community Partners of Cal Hep B Free will implement the following strategies to create campus awareness and promote HBV screenings:

- 1) CAMPUS VISIBILITY by launching a campus media outreach campaign in collaboration with the Office of Business Outreach and Marketing, Transit Advertising Program, the Daily Californian, and Community Partners;
- 2) ONLINE VISIBILITY by constructing a dynamic Website calhepbfree.org and use of popular online social networking and communications utilities;
- 3) HEP B AWARENESS WEEK launched during the week of September 15-19 with events and activities that address the objectives of Cal Hep B Free;
- 4) FIVE-DOLLAR REVERSE CO-PAYS given to the first 1,000 students that get screened at the Tang Center which permits non-SHIP students to obtain discounted screenings and SHIP-insured students to obtain free screenings;
- 5) B SMART, B TESTED, B FREE \$1,000 CHALLENGE launched to offer a \$200 reward to the student and \$800 reward to the Campus Life and Leadership registered student group that recruits the most people to get screened; and

WHEREAS, the Steering Committee, Advisory Board, and Community Partners of Cal Hep B Free will carry out the following events:

- a.) September 15, 2008: Press Conference with campus and local media outlets;
- b.) September 16, 2008: Rock the Jade Hepatitis B Awareness Concert and Address by Assemblywoman Fiona Ma;
- c.) September 17, 2008: Hepatitis B Teach-In with doctors and medical specialists to address students about HBV; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CAL HEP B FREE FALL 2008 PILOT-PROGRAM (cont'd)

WHEREAS, the finalized detailed budget as of August 31, 2008 is as follows: Est. cost after in-kind donations, \$11,967.87; and

WHEREAS, the ASUC is the cornerstone of UC Berkeley's grassroots campaigns, possessing unrivaled potential to ensure Cal Hep B Free will serve the campus to the fullest extent;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC recognizes the Cal Hep B Free Fall 2008 pilot-program as an ASUC event.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC supports the Cal Hep B Free Fall 2008 pilot-program and its collaboration with many off and on-campus Community Partners for the promotion of hepatitis B awareness, screening, and vaccination.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC Senate allocate \$1,000 (one thousand USD) from the Senate Contingency Fund to the Cal Hep B Free Fall 2008 pilot-program.

A motion to change the speakers' list and have Mr. Tran as the first speaker was made and seconded by Mr. Tran and Mr. Mairena.

The motion to approve SB 8, as amended in committee, passed by hand-vote 19-0-1.

Mr. Moghtader said he thought they were going to vote on the amendment on the speakers' list. Ms. Pasco said she called for speakers. Mr. Mairena said they didn't vote on the amendment because there were no objections, and it automatically passed.

Mr. Nahabet said that if there was no objection, the bill could be considered.

Mr. Tran moved to reconsider SB 8. The motion was seconded by Ms. Chen and passed with no objection.

Mr. Tran moved to amend the last Resolved Clause from \$1,000 to \$1,500. Mr. Mairena said he believed that for amendments to financial allocations, they had to fill in a blank. Mr. Tran moved to amend SB 8 by creating a blank. The motion was seconded by Ms. Chen and passed with no objection.

Ms. Hussain moved to recess for two minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nahabet and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed. Back in session, a motion to extend the recess by three minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Hussain and Mr. Mairena and passed with no objection. This meeting was recessed.

Back in session, Ms. Rodriguez moved to meet in a committee of the whole. The motion was seconded by Ms. Tran and passed with no objection. This meeting entered into a committee of the whole.

Back in regular session, Ms. Pasco said suggested figures to fill in the blank for SB 8 were \$1,500, \$1,000, \$900, \$800, \$600, and \$500.

The motion to amend the amount to \$1,500 failed by hand-vote 2-13-4.

THE MOTION TO AMEND THE AMOUNT TO \$1,000 PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 16-1-3.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE SB 8, AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE AND ON THE FLOOR, PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 19-0-1, RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CAL HEP B FREE FALL 2008 PILOT-PROGRAM.

Mr. Mairena moved to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Ms. Ude and passed with no objection. Roll call was taken for attendance.

Members present were:

Sheila Chen	Eddie Nahabet	Will Smelko
Sarah Cho	Christina Oatfield	Lisa Tran
Meghana Dhar	Tommy Owens	Tu Tran
Mary June Flores	Tara Raffi	Obiamaka Ude
Saira Hussain	Claudia Rodriguez	Stephanie Yang
Oscar Mairena	Lyell Sakaue	Yishi Zuo
John Moghtader	Kifah Shah	

This meeting adjourned at 12:35 a.m.

These minutes respectfully submitted by,

Steven I. Litwak
Recording Secretary